arXiv:1212.2199v1 [nlin.SI] 10 Dec 2012

HIGHER JET PROLONGATION LIE ALGEBRAS AND BÄCKLUND TRANSFORMATIONS FOR $(1+1)$-DIMENSIONAL PDES

SERGEY IGONIN

Abstract. For any $(1+1)$-dimensional evolution PDE, we define a sequence of Lie algebras $\mathbb{F}^p$, $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, which are responsible for all Lax pairs and zero-curvature representations (ZCRs) of this PDE.

In our construction, jets of arbitrary order are allowed. In the case of lower order jets, the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p$ generalize Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebras.

To achieve this, we find a normal form for (nonlinear) ZCRs with respect to the action of the group of gauge transformations. One shows that any ZCR is locally gauge equivalent to the ZCR arising from a vector field representation of the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p$, where p is the order of jets involved in the x-part of the ZCR.

More precisely, we define a Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p$ for each nonnegative integer p and each point a of the infinite prolongation $\mathcal{E}$ of the PDE. So the full notation for the algebra is $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

Using these algebras, one obtains a necessary condition for two given evolution PDEs to be connected by a Bäcklund transformation.

In this paper, the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ are computed for some PDEs of KdV type. In a different paper with G. Manno, we compute $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for multicomponent Landau-Lifshitz systems of Golubchik and Sokolov. In the obtained algebras, one encounters solvable ideals, semisimple ideals, and infinite-dimensional Lie algebras of matrix-valued functions on algebraic curves.

Applications to classification of KdV and Krichever-Novikov type equations with respect to Bäcklund transformations are also discussed.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. The main results 2
1.2. Necessary conditions for existence of Bäcklund transformations 7
1.3. Conventions and notation 8
2. Coverings of $(1+1)$-dimensional evolution PDEs 8
2.1. Coverings and gauge transformations 8
2.2. Normal forms of coverings with respect to the action of gauge transformations 11
2.3. The algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ 16
3. The homomorphisms $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ and $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for KdV type equations 17
4. Relations between $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ and the Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra 24
5. The algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for the KdV equation 28
Acknowledgements 28
References 28

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37K30, 37K35.

1. Introduction

1.1. The main results.

A large part of the theory of integrable systems is devoted to evolution PDEs of the form

$$\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} = F^i(x,t,u^1,\dots,u^m,\, u^1_1,\dots,u^m_1,\dots,u^1_d,\dots,u^m_d), \tag{1}$$

$$u^i = u^i(x,t), \qquad u^i_k = \frac{\partial^k u^i}{\partial x^k}, \qquad i = 1,\dots,m.$$

Here the number d is such that the functions F^i may depend only on the variables x, t, u^j, u^j_k for $k \le d$.

This class of PDEs includes many celebrated equations of mathematical physics (e.g., the KdV, Landau-Lifshitz, nonlinear Schrödinger equations).

Many more PDEs can written in the evolution form (1) after a suitable change of variables[1]. For example, the sine-Gordon equation $u_{tt} - u_{xx} = \sin u$ is equivalent to the evolution system

$$u^1_t = u^2, \qquad u^2_t = u^1_{xx} + \sin u^1,$$

where $u^1 = u$, $u^2 = u_t$, and subscripts denote derivatives.

In this paper, integrability of PDEs is understood in the sense of soliton theory and the inverse scattering method. This is sometimes called S-integrability.

It is well known that, in order to understand integrability properties of (1), one needs to study overdetermined systems of the form

$$\begin{aligned} &w^j_x = \alpha^j(w^1,\dots,w^q,x,t,u^1,\dots,u^m,u^1_1,\dots,u^m_1,\dots,u^1_p,\dots,u^m_p),\\ &w^j_t = \beta^j(w^1,\dots,w^q,x,t,u^1,\dots,u^m,\, u^1_1,\dots,u^m_1,\dots,u^1_{p+d-1},\dots,u^m_{p+d-1}),\\ &\qquad w^j = w^j(x,t), \qquad j = 1,\dots,q, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

such that system (2) is compatible modulo (1). The precise meaning of this compatibility condition is explained in Remark 5 below.

It is well known that Lax pairs, Bäcklund transformations, and zero-curvature representations for (1) can be described in terms of systems (2) compatible modulo (1). Thus compatible systems (2) are of fundamental importance for the theory of nonlinear PDEs in two independent variables x, t.

The number p in (2) is such that the functions α^j may depend only on the variables w^l, x, t, u^i_k for $k \le p$. Then, as is explained in Remark 5, the compatibility condition implies that the functions β^j may depend only on w^l, x, t, $u^{i'}_{k'}$ for $k' \le p + d - 1$.

If the functions α^j, β^j are linear with respect to $w^1,\dots,w^q$, then (2) corresponds to a zero-curvature representation for system (1). In the case of nonlinear functions α^j, β^j, a compatible system (2) can be regarded as a nonlinear zero-curvature representation for (1).

In this paper, we study the following problem. Given a system (1), how to describe all systems (2) that are compatible modulo (1)?

In the case when $p = 0$ and the functions F^i, α^j, β^j do not depend on x, t, a partial answer to this problem is provided by the Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation method (WE method for short). Namely, for a given system (1), the WE method constructs a Lie algebra in terms of generators and relations such that compatible systems of the form

$$\begin{aligned} &w^j_x = \alpha^j(w^1,\dots,w^q,u^1,\dots,u^m),\\ &w^j_t = \beta^j(w^1,\dots,w^q,u^1,\dots,u^m,\, u^1_1,\dots,u^m_1,\dots,u^1_{d-1},\dots,u^m_{d-1}),\\ &\qquad w^j = w^j(x,t), \qquad j = 1,\dots,q, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

---

[1] It is known that almost any determined system of PDEs in two independent variables can be written in the evolution form (1) by means of a change of variables.

correspond to representations of this algebra by vector fields on the manifold W with coordinates $w^1, \dots, w^q$ (see, e.g., [3, 13, 17]) and references therein). This algebra is called the *Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra.*

In order to study the general case of systems (2) with arbitrary p, we need to consider gauge transformations. A *gauge transformation* is given by an invertible change of variables of the form

$$x \mapsto x, \quad t \mapsto t, \quad u^i \mapsto u^i, \quad u^i_k \mapsto u^i_k, \quad w^j \mapsto g^j(\tilde{w}^1, \dots, \tilde{w}^q, x, t, u^i, u^i_l, \dots), \quad j = 1, \dots, q. \tag{4}$$

Substituting (4) to (2), we obtain equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}^j_x &= \tilde{\alpha}^j(\tilde{w}^1, \dots, \tilde{w}^q, x, t, u^i, u^i_k, \dots), \\ \tilde{w}^j_t &= \tilde{\beta}^j(\tilde{w}^1, \dots, \tilde{w}^q, x, t, u^i, u^i_k, \dots), \\ \tilde{w}^j &= \tilde{w}^j(x, t), \qquad\qquad j = 1, \dots, q. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

System (5) is said to be *gauge equivalent* to system (2) if (5) and (2) are connected by a change of variables of the form (4).

If (2) is compatible then for any gauge transformation (4) the corresponding system (5) is compatible as well.

The WE method does not consider gauge transformations. In the classification of compatible systems (3) this is acceptable, because the class of systems (3) is relatively small.

The class of systems (2) is much larger than that of (3). As we show below, gauge transformations play a very important role in the classification of compatible systems (2). Because of this, the classical WE method does not produce satisfactory results for (2).

To solve this problem, we combine the technique of gauge transformations with ideas similar to the WE method. Loosely speaking, our results can be stated as follows.

We find a normal form for systems (2) with respect to the action of the group of gauge transformations. This allows us to define a Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p$ for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that the following properties hold. Any compatible system (2) is locally gauge equivalent to the system arising from a vector field representation of the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p$. Two compatible systems of the form (2) are locally gauge equivalent iff the corresponding vector field representations of $\mathbb{F}^p$ are locally isomorphic.

More precisely, as is discussed below, we define a Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p$ for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and each point a of the infinite prolongation $\mathcal{E}$ of system (1). So the full notation for the algebra is $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

Recall that the *infinite prolongation* $\mathcal{E}$ of (1) is the infinite-dimensional manifold with the coordinates

$$x, \qquad t, \qquad u^i_k, \qquad i = 1, \dots, m, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \qquad u^i_0 = u^i.$$

The precise definition of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for any system (1) is presented in Section 2. In this definition, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is given in terms of generators and relations.

We consider representations of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ by vector fields on the manifold W with coordinates $w^1, \dots, w^q$. Such vector field representations of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ classify (up to gauge equivalence) all compatible systems (2), where functions α^j, β^j are defined on a neighborhood of the point $a \in \mathcal{E}$. See Section 2 for details.

Some applications of the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ to classification of evolution PDEs with respect to Bäcklund transformations are discussed in Subsection 1.2.

According to Section 2, the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ are arranged in a sequence of surjective homomorphisms

$$\dots \to \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \dots \to \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a). \tag{6}$$

Let us describe the structure of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ and the homomorphisms (6) more explicitly for some PDEs. Theorems 1 and 2 are proved in Sections 3 and 5 respectively.

Theorem 1 (Section 3)**.** *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the infinite prolongation of the equation*

$$u_t = u_{xxx} + f(u, u_x), \qquad u = u(x,t), \tag{7}$$

where f is an arbitrary function. Let $a \in \mathcal{E}$.

For each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, consider the homomorphism $\varphi_p \colon \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E}, a)$ from (6). Then we have

$$[v_1, v_2] = 0 \qquad \forall\, v_1 \in \ker \varphi_p, \qquad \forall\, v_2 \in \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a).$$

That is, the kernel of φ_p is contained in the center of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $\psi_k \colon \mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ be the composition of the homomorphisms

$$\mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^{k-1}(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \dots \to \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$$

from (6). Then

$$[h_1, [h_2, \dots, [h_{k-1}, [h_k, h_{k+1}]] \dots]] = 0 \qquad \forall\, h_1, \dots, h_{k+1} \in \ker \psi_k.$$

In particular, the kernel of ψ_k is nilpotent.

Theorem 2 (Section 5)**.** *Consider the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra*

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}[\lambda]) \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[\lambda],$$

where $\mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ is the algebra of polynomials in λ. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the infinite prolongation of the KdV equation

$$u_t = u_{xxx} + u_x u. \tag{8}$$

Let $a \in \mathcal{E}$. Then

- *the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}[\lambda])$ and a 3-dimensional abelian Lie algebra,*
- *for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the kernel of the surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) \to \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ from (6) is nilpotent.*

To describe $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for the KdV equation in Theorem 2, we use the following fact. If the functions F^i in (1) do not depend on x, t, then the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is isomorphic to a certain subalgebra of the Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra for (1) (see Theorem 7 in Section 4 for details).

The explicit structure of the Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra for the KdV equation is given in [4, 5], and this allows us to describe $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for the KdV equation.

Remark 1. Using some extra computations, one can prove the following.

Proposition 1. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the infinite prolongation of the KdV equation. For any $a \in \mathcal{E}$ and any $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}[\lambda])$ and a finite-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra.*

We do not present the proof of Proposition 1 in this paper, because the result of Theorem 2 is sufficient for the main applications to Bäcklund transformations, which are discussed in Subsection 1.2.

To describe another example, we need some auxiliary constructions. Let $\mathbb{C}[v_1, v_2, v_3]$ be the algebra of polynomials in the variables v_1, v_2, v_3. Let $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $e_1 \neq e_2 \neq e_3 \neq e_1$.

Consider the ideal $\mathcal{I}_{e_1,e_2,e_3} \subset \mathbb{C}[v_1, v_2, v_3]$ generated by the polynomials

$$v_i^2 - v_j^2 + e_i - e_j, \qquad i,\, j = 1, 2, 3. \tag{9}$$

Set

$$E_{e_1,e_2,e_3} = \mathbb{C}[v_1, v_2, v_3] / \mathcal{I}_{e_1,e_2,e_3}.$$

In other words, E_{e_1,e_2,e_3} is the commutative associative algebra of regular functions on the algebraic curve in $\mathbb{C}^3$ defined by the polynomials (9). It is easy to check that this curve is nonsingular and is of genus 1.

We have the natural projection $\mathbb{C}[v_1,v_2,v_3]\to E_{e_1,e_2,e_3}$. The image of $v_i\in\mathbb{C}[v_1,v_2,v_3]$ in E_{e_1,e_2,e_3} is denoted by $\bar v_i\in E_{e_1,e_2,e_3}$ for $i=1,2,3$.

Consider also a basis x_1, x_2, x_3 of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$[x_1,x_2]=x_3,\qquad [x_2,x_3]=x_1,\qquad [x_3,x_1]=x_2.$$

We endow the space $\mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C})\otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E_{e_1,e_2,e_3}$ with the following Lie algebra structure

$$[y_1\otimes h_1,\, y_2\otimes h_2]=[y_1,y_2]\otimes h_1h_2,\qquad y_1,y_2\in\mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C}),\qquad h_1,h_2\in E_{e_1,e_2,e_3}.$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{R}_{e_1,e_2,e_3}$ the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C})\otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E_{e_1,e_2,e_3}$ generated by the elements

$$x_i\otimes\bar v_i\ \in\ \mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbb{C})\otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E_{e_1,e_2,e_3},\qquad i=1,2,3.$$

It is easily seen that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{R}_{e_1,e_2,e_3}$ is infinite-dimensional. According to [14], the Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra of the anisotropic Landau-Lifshitz equation is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathfrak{R}_{e_1,e_2,e_3}$ and a 2-dimensional abelian Lie algebra.

According to Proposition 2 below, the algebra $\mathfrak{R}_{e_1,e_2,e_3}$ appears also in the structure of the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)$ for the Krichever-Novikov equation. A proof of Proposition 2 is sketched in [10].

Proposition 2 ([10])**.** *For any $e_1,e_2,e_3\in\mathbb{C}$, consider the Krichever-Novikov equation*

$$u_t=u_{xxx}-\frac{3}{2}\frac{u_{xx}^2}{u_x}+\frac{(u-e_1)(u-e_2)(u-e_3)}{u_x},\qquad u=u(x,t). \tag{10}$$

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the infinite prolongation of this equation. Let $a\in\mathcal{E}$. Then

- *the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)$ is zero,*
- *for any $p\geq 2$, the kernel of the surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)\to\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E},a)$ from (6) is nilpotent,*
- *if $e_1\neq e_2\neq e_3\neq e_1$, then the algebra $\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E},a)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{R}_{e_1,e_2,e_3}$.*

Remark 2. The proof of Proposition 2 uses the well-known fact that the Krichever-Novikov equation possesses an $\mathfrak{so}_3$-valued zero-curvature representation parametrized by the above-mentioned curve.

Remark 3. As has been said above, if the functions F^i in (1) do not depend on x, t, then the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)$ is isomorphic to a certain subalgebra of the Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra for (1).

The algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)$ for $p\geq 1$ cannot be obtained by the classical Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation method, because the definition of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)$ uses gauge transformations, while the Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation method does not consider gauge transformations.

According to Proposition 2, for the Krichever-Novikov equation we have $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)=0$ and $\dim\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)=\infty$ for $p\geq 1$. It is easy to show that the classical Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra is trivial for the Krichever-Novikov equation. Thus in this example the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)$ are much more interesting than the Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra.

As another example, consider the system

$$S_t=\Big(S_{xx}+\frac{3}{2}\langle S_x,S_x\rangle S\Big)_x+\frac{3}{2}\langle S,RS\rangle S_x,\qquad \langle S,S\rangle=1, \tag{11}$$

where $S=(s^1(x,t),\dots,s^n(x,t))$ is a column-vector of dimension $n\geq 3$, $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the standard scalar product, and $R=\operatorname{diag}(r_1,\dots,r_n)$ is a constant diagonal matrix with $r_i\neq r_j$ for $i\neq j$.

This system was introduced in [7]. According to [7], for $n = 3$ it coincides with the higher symmetry (the commuting flow) of third order for the Landau-Lifshitz equation. Thus (11) can be regarded as an n-component generalization of the Landau-Lifshitz equation.

The paper [7] considers also the following algebraic curve

$$\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2 = r_j - r_i, \qquad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \tag{12}$$

in the space $\mathbb{C}^n$ with coordinates $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. According to [7], this curve is of genus $1 + (n-3)2^{n-2}$, and system (11) possesses a zero-curvature representation parametrized by points of this curve.

System (11) has an infinite number of symmetries, conservation laws [7], and an auto-Bäcklund transformation with a parameter [1]. Soliton-like solutions of (11) can be found in [1]. In [15] system (11) and its symmetries are constructed by means of the Kostant–Adler scheme.

For system (11), the structure of the Lie algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is described in [12]. According to [12], for each p the 'main part' of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra of certain $\mathfrak{so}_{n,1}$-valued functions on the curve (12). Here $\mathfrak{so}_{n,1}$ is the Lie algebra of the matrix Lie group $\mathrm{O}(n, 1)$, which consists of linear transformations that preserve the standard bilinear form of signature $(n, 1)$.

The algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ for (11) contain also some solvable ideals and finite-dimensional semisimple ideals (see [12] for details).

Remark 4. As has been said above, for equations (7), (8), (10), (11) the algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ contain some nilpotent or solvable ideals. The explicit structure of these ideals is not completely clear.

For the main applications to Bäcklund transformations, it is sufficient to know that these ideals are solvable. In particular, to prove Proposition 4 about Bäcklund transformations, we use the quotient algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)/\mathcal{I}$, where $\mathcal{I}$ is the sum of all solvable ideals of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$. So the explicit structure of solvable ideals of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is not needed for such results.

Remark 5. Combining (2) with (1), we get

$$\frac{\partial^2 w^j}{\partial x \partial t} = \sum_{l=1}^{q} \frac{\partial \alpha^j}{\partial w^l} w^l_t + D_t(\alpha^j), \qquad \frac{\partial^2 w^j}{\partial t \partial x} = \sum_{l=1}^{q} \frac{\partial \beta^j}{\partial w^l} w^l_x + D_x(\beta^j), \tag{13}$$

where

$$D_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum_{\substack{i=1,\dots,m,\\ k\ge 0}} u^i_{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i_k}, \qquad D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{\substack{i=1,\dots,m,\\ k\ge 0}} D_x^k(F^i) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i_k} \tag{14}$$

are the total derivative operators corresponding to (1). Using (2) and (13), one obtains that the identity $\dfrac{\partial^2 w^j}{\partial x \partial t} = \dfrac{\partial^2 w^j}{\partial t \partial x}$ is equivalent to

$$\sum_{l=1}^{q} \frac{\partial \alpha^j}{\partial w^l} \beta^l + D_t(\alpha^j) = \sum_{l=1}^{q} \frac{\partial \beta^j}{\partial w^l} \alpha^l + D_x(\beta^j), \qquad j = 1, \dots, q. \tag{15}$$

System (2) is called *compatible modulo* (1) if equations (15) hold for all values of the variables x, t, u^i_k, w^l.

Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ be such that

$$\frac{\partial \alpha^j}{\partial u^i_s} = 0 \qquad \forall\, s > p, \qquad \forall\, i = 1, \dots, m, \qquad \forall\, j = 1, \dots, q.$$

Then equations (15) imply

$$\frac{\partial \beta^j}{\partial u^i_r} = 0 \qquad \forall\, r > p + d - 1, \qquad \forall\, i = 1, \dots, m, \qquad \forall\, j = 1, \dots, q.$$

Remark 6. In the case when $m = 1$ and the functions F^i, α^j, β^j do not depend on x, t, the problem to describe compatible systems of the form

$$\begin{gathered} w^j_x = \alpha^j(w^1, \dots, w^q, u, u_x, u_{xx}, \dots), \\ w^j_t = \beta^j(w^1, \dots, w^q, u, u_x, u_{xx}, \dots), \\ w^j = w^j(x,t), \qquad j = 1, \dots, q, \qquad u = u^1. \end{gathered} \tag{16}$$

was studied in [8].

In the case when (1) is either the Burgers or the KdV equation, the problem to describe compatible systems of the form (16) was also studied in [6]. However, gauge transformations were not considered in [6]. Because of this, the paper [6] had to impose some additional constraints on the functions α^j, β^j in (16).

1.2. **Necessary conditions for existence of Bäcklund transformations.** Let $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E},a)$ be the inverse (projective) limit of the sequence (6). Then $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E},a)$ is a Lie algebra, and we can consider the following topology on $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E},a)$.

Since $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E},a)$ is the inverse limit of (6), for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ we have the natural surjective homomorphism $\rho_k \colon \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E},a) \to \mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E},a)$. The subsets $\rho_k^{-1}(v) \subset \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E},a)$ for $v \in \mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E},a)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ form a base of the topology on $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E},a)$.

Remark 7. Let L be a Lie algebra endowed with the discrete topology. Then a homomorphism $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E},a) \to L$ is continuous iff it is of the form $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E},a) \xrightarrow{\rho_k} \mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E},a) \to L$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ and some homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E},a) \to L$.

It is shown in [10] that the algebra $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E},a)$ has some coordinate-independent geometric meaning.

A Lie subalgebra $H \subset \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E},a)$ is said to be *tame* if there are $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ and a subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E},a)$ such that $H = \rho_k^{-1}(\mathfrak{h})$. Note that the codimension of H in $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E},a)$ is equal to the codimension of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E},a)$.

Remark 8. It is easily seen that a subalgebra $H \subset \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E},a)$ is tame iff H is open and closed in $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E},a)$ with respect to the topology on $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E},a)$.

The following result is proved in [10].

Proposition 3 ([10])**.** *Let $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ be evolution PDEs. Suppose that $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ are connected by a Bäcklund transformation. Then for each $i = 1, 2$ there is a point $a_i \in \mathcal{E}_i$ and a tame subalgebra $H_i \subset \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}_i, a_i)$ such that*

- *H_i is of finite codimension in $\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}_i, a_i)$,*
- *H_1 is isomorphic to H_2, and this isomorphism is a homeomorphism with respect to the topology induced by the embedding $H_i \subset \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{E}_i, a_i)$.*

In fact the preprint [10] contains a more general result about PDEs that are not necessarily evolution.

Proposition 3 gives a necessary condition for two given evolution PDEs to be connected by a Bäcklund transformation (BT for short). Using Proposition 3, one can prove non-existence of BTs for some PDEs.

For example, the following result is obtained in [9] by means of this theory. For any $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \mathbb{C}$, consider the Krichever-Novikov equation

$$\mathrm{KN}(e_1,e_2,e_3) = \left\{ u_t = u_{xxx} - \frac{3}{2}\frac{u_{xx}^2}{u_x} + \frac{(u-e_1)(u-e_2)(u-e_3)}{u_x}, \qquad u = u(x,t) \right\}, \tag{17}$$

and the algebraic curve $C(e_1,e_2,e_3) = \Big\{ (z,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \ \Big|\ y^2 = (z-e_1)(z-e_2)(z-e_3) \Big\}$.

Proposition 4 ([9])**.** *Let $e_1, e_2, e_3, e'_1, e'_2, e'_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $e_i \neq e_j$ and $e'_i \neq e'_j$ for all $i \neq j$.*

If the curve $C(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is not birationally equivalent to the curve $C(e'_1, e'_2, e'_3)$, then the equation $\mathrm{KN}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is not connected with the equation $\mathrm{KN}(e'_1, e'_2, e'_3)$ by any Bäcklund transformation.

Also, if $e_1 \neq e_2 \neq e_3 \neq e_1$, then $\mathrm{KN}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is not connected with the KdV equation by any BT.

Similar results are proved in [9] for the Landau-Lifshitz and nonlinear Schrödinger equations as well.

BTs of Miura type (differential substitutions) for (17) were studied in [16]. According to [16], the equation $\mathrm{KN}(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is connected with the KdV equation by a BT of Miura type iff $e_i = e_j$ for some $i \neq j$.

The preprints [9, 10] and Propositions 3, 4 consider the most general class of BTs, which is much larger than the class of BTs of Miura type studied in [16].

1.3. Conventions and notation.

The following conventions and notation are used in the paper.

All manifolds, functions, vector fields, and maps of manifolds are supposed to be complex-analytic.

The symbols $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ denote the sets of positive and nonnegative integers respectively.

2. Coverings of $(1+1)$-dimensional evolution PDEs

2.1. Coverings and gauge transformations.

Consider an evolution system of PDEs

$$\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} = F^i(x, t, u^1, \dots, u^m, u^1_1, \dots, u^m_1, \dots, u^1_d, \dots, u^m_d), \tag{18}$$

$$u^i = u^i(x, t), \qquad u^i_k = \frac{\partial^k u^i}{\partial x^k}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Recall that the infinite prolongation $\mathcal{E}$ of (18) is the infinite-dimensional manifold with the coordinates x, t, u^i_k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Here $u^i_0 = u^i$.

In what follows, when we consider a function of the variables u^i_k, we always assume that the function may depend only on a finite number of these variables. The total derivative operators D_x, D_t given by formulas (14) are viewed as vector fields on the manifold $\mathcal{E}$.

Suppose that a system

$$\begin{aligned} w^j_x &= \alpha^j(w^1, \dots, w^q, x, t, u^i_k, \dots), \\ w^j_t &= \beta^j(w^1, \dots, w^q, x, t, u^i_k, \dots), \\ w^j &= w^j(x, t), \qquad\qquad j = 1, \dots, q, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

is compatible modulo (18).

Let W be the manifold with coordinates $w^1, \dots, w^q$. Then the expressions

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \alpha^j(w^1, \dots, w^q, x, t, u^i_k, \dots) \frac{\partial}{\partial w^j}, \tag{20}$$

$$B = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \beta^j(w^1, \dots, w^q, x, t, u^i_k, \dots) \frac{\partial}{\partial w^j} \tag{21}$$

can be regarded as vector fields on the manifold $\mathcal{E} \times W$.

The compatibility condition (15) of system (19) is equivalent to the equation

$$D_x(B) - D_t(A) + [A, B] = 0, \tag{22}$$

where $D_x(B) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} D_x(\beta^j) \dfrac{\partial}{\partial w^j}$ and $D_t(A) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} D_t(\alpha^j) \dfrac{\partial}{\partial w^j}$.

If system (19) is compatible modulo (18), then (19) is called a *covering* of (18). Covering (19) is uniquely determined by the vector fields A, B given by formulas (20), (21).

Remark 9. This definition of coverings is a particular case of a more general concept of coverings of PDEs from [2, 13].

A covering (19) is said to be *of order not greater than* $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ if the functions α^j may depend only on the variables w^l, x, t, u^i_k for $k \leq p$. In other words, covering (19) is of order $\leq p$ iff the vector field (20) satisfies

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial u^i_s} = 0 \qquad \forall\, s > p, \qquad \forall\, i = 1, \dots, m. \tag{23}$$

If (23) holds, then equation (22) implies

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial u^i_r} = 0 \qquad \forall\, r > p + d - 1, \qquad \forall\, i = 1, \dots, m. \tag{24}$$

As has already been said in Section 1.1, a gauge transformation is given by an invertible change of variables

$$x \mapsto x, \qquad t \mapsto t, \qquad u^i_k \mapsto u^i_k, \qquad w^j \mapsto g^j(\tilde{w}^1, \dots, \tilde{w}^q, x, t, u^i_l, \dots), \qquad j = 1, \dots, q. \tag{25}$$

Substituting (25) to (19), we obtain a system of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{w}^j_x &= \tilde{\alpha}^j(\tilde{w}^1, \dots, \tilde{w}^q, x, t, u^i_k, \dots), \\ \tilde{w}^j_t &= \tilde{\beta}^j(\tilde{w}^1, \dots, \tilde{w}^q, x, t, u^i_k, \dots), \\ \tilde{w}^j &= \tilde{w}^j(x, t), \qquad\qquad j = 1, \dots, q. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Covering (26) is said to be *gauge equivalent* to covering (19) if (26) and (19) are connected by a gauge transformation (25).

Example 1. Consider a scalar evolution equation

$$u_t = F(x, t, u, u_1, \dots, u_d), \qquad u = u(x, t), \qquad u_k = \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial x^k}. \tag{27}$$

Then D_x is given by the formula $D_x = \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum_{k\geq 0} u_{k+1} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial u_k}$, where $u_0 = u$.

Let $q = 1$ and $w = w^1$. Consider a covering

$$w_x = \alpha(w, x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots), \qquad w_t = \beta(w, x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots). \tag{28}$$

We want to determine how covering (28) changes after a gauge transformation of the form

$$x \mapsto x, \qquad t \mapsto t, \qquad u_k \mapsto u_k, \qquad w \mapsto g(\tilde{w}, x, t, u_0, u_1), \qquad \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tilde{w}} \neq 0. \tag{29}$$

We need to substitute $g(\tilde{w}, x, t, u_0, u_1)$ in place of w in equations (28). The result is

$$\begin{gathered} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tilde{w}} \cdot \tilde{w}_x + \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_0} \cdot u_1 + \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_1} \cdot u_2 = \alpha(g, x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots), \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tilde{w}} \cdot \tilde{w}_t + \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_0} \cdot u_t + \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_1} \cdot u_{xt} = \beta(g, x, t, u_0, u_1, \dots), \\ g = g(\tilde{w}, x, t, u_0, u_1). \end{gathered} \tag{30}$$

Since $u_t = F$ and $u_{xt} = D_x(F)$ due to equation (27), system (30) can be written as

$$\begin{gathered}
\tilde{w}_x = \frac{1}{g_{\tilde{w}}}\Big(\alpha(g,x,t,u_0,u_1,\dots) - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} - u_1\frac{\partial g}{\partial u_0} - u_2\frac{\partial g}{\partial u_1}\Big),\\
\tilde{w}_t = \frac{1}{g_{\tilde{w}}}\Big(\beta(g,x,t,u_0,u_1,\dots) - \frac{\partial g}{\partial t} - F\frac{\partial g}{\partial u_0} - D_x(F)\frac{\partial g}{\partial u_1}\Big),\\
g = g(\tilde{w},x,t,u_0,u_1), \qquad g_{\tilde{w}} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tilde{w}}.
\end{gathered} \tag{31}$$

Thus, applying the gauge transformation (29) to covering (28), one obtains covering (31).

Return to the general case of system (18) and covering (19). Suppose that (26) is obtained from (19) by means of a gauge transformation (25). Let us present explicit formulas for the functions $\tilde{\alpha}^j$, $\tilde{\beta}^j$ from (26).

In order to apply the gauge transformation (25) to covering (19), we need to substitute $g^j(\tilde{w}^1,\dots,\tilde{w}^q,x,t,u^i_l,\dots)$ in place of w^j in equations (19). The result is

$$\begin{gathered}
\sum_{r=1}^{q}\frac{\partial g^j}{\partial \tilde{w}^r}\cdot\tilde{w}^r_x + D_x(g^j) = \alpha^j(g^1,\dots,g^q,x,t,u^i_k,\dots),\\
\sum_{r=1}^{q}\frac{\partial g^j}{\partial \tilde{w}^r}\cdot\tilde{w}^r_t + D_t(g^j) = \beta^j(g^1,\dots,g^q,x,t,u^i_k,\dots),\\
g^j = g^j(\tilde{w}^1,\dots,\tilde{w}^q,x,t,u^i_l,\dots), \qquad j=1,\dots,q.
\end{gathered}$$

Therefore, applying the gauge transformation (25) to system (19), we obtain the system

$$\begin{gathered}
\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{w}^1_x\\ \vdots\\ \tilde{w}^q_x\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\frac{\partial g^1}{\partial \tilde{w}^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial g^1}{\partial \tilde{w}^q}\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ \frac{\partial g^q}{\partial \tilde{w}^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial g^q}{\partial \tilde{w}^q}\end{pmatrix}^{-1}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}\alpha^1(g^1,\dots,g^q,x,t,u^i_k,\dots) - D_x(g^1)\\ \vdots\\ \alpha^q(g^1,\dots,g^q,x,t,u^i_k,\dots) - D_x(g^q)\end{pmatrix},\\
\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{w}^1_t\\ \vdots\\ \tilde{w}^q_t\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\frac{\partial g^1}{\partial \tilde{w}^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial g^1}{\partial \tilde{w}^q}\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ \frac{\partial g^q}{\partial \tilde{w}^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial g^q}{\partial \tilde{w}^q}\end{pmatrix}^{-1}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}\beta^1(g^1,\dots,g^q,x,t,u^i_k,\dots) - D_t(g^1)\\ \vdots\\ \beta^q(g^1,\dots,g^q,x,t,u^i_k,\dots) - D_t(g^q)\end{pmatrix}.
\end{gathered}$$

Hence the functions $\tilde{\alpha}^j$, $\tilde{\beta}^j$ from (26) are given by the formulas

$$\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{\alpha}^1\\ \vdots\\ \tilde{\alpha}^q\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\frac{\partial g^1}{\partial \tilde{w}^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial g^1}{\partial \tilde{w}^q}\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ \frac{\partial g^q}{\partial \tilde{w}^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial g^q}{\partial \tilde{w}^q}\end{pmatrix}^{-1}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}\alpha^1(g^1,\dots,g^q,x,t,u^i_k,\dots) - D_x(g^1)\\ \vdots\\ \alpha^q(g^1,\dots,g^q,x,t,u^i_k,\dots) - D_x(g^q)\end{pmatrix}, \tag{32}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{\beta}^1\\ \vdots\\ \tilde{\beta}^q\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\frac{\partial g^1}{\partial \tilde{w}^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial g^1}{\partial \tilde{w}^q}\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ \frac{\partial g^q}{\partial \tilde{w}^1} & \dots & \frac{\partial g^q}{\partial \tilde{w}^q}\end{pmatrix}^{-1}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}\beta^1(g^1,\dots,g^q,x,t,u^i_k,\dots) - D_t(g^1)\\ \vdots\\ \beta^q(g^1,\dots,g^q,x,t,u^i_k,\dots) - D_t(g^q)\end{pmatrix}, \tag{33}$$

$$g^j = g^j(\tilde{w}^1,\dots,\tilde{w}^q,x,t,u^i_l,\dots), \qquad j=1,\dots,q.$$

Let $\tilde{W}$ be the manifold with coordinates $\tilde{w}^1,\dots,\tilde{w}^q$. Formulas (25) determine the diffeomorphism

$$G\colon \mathcal{E}\times\tilde{W}\to\mathcal{E}\times W, \qquad G^*(x)=x, \qquad G^*(t)=t, \qquad G^*(u^i_k)=u^i_k, \tag{34}$$

$$G^*(w^j) = g^j(\tilde{w}^1,\dots,\tilde{w}^q,x,t,u^i_l,\dots), \tag{35}$$

where G^* is the pull-back map corresponding to the diffeomorphism G.

According to (32), (33), (34), (35), for the vector fields $\tilde{A}=\sum_{j=1}^{q}\tilde{\alpha}^j\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{w}^j}$ and $\tilde{B}=\sum_{j=1}^{q}\tilde{\beta}^j\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\tilde{w}^j}$ we have

$$G_*\big(D_x+\tilde{A}\big)=D_x+A,\qquad\qquad G_*\big(D_t+\tilde{B}\big)=D_t+B,$$

where G_* is the differential of the diffeomorphism G, and the vector fields A, B are given by (20), (21).

To simplify notation, we identify $\tilde{w}^j$ with w^j. A gauge transformation given by (25) will be written simply as

$$w^j\mapsto g^j(w^1,\dots,w^q,x,t,u^i_l,\dots),\qquad\qquad j=1,\dots,q.$$

2.2. **Normal forms of coverings with respect to the action of gauge transformations.** Recall that W is the manifold with coordinates $w^1,\dots,w^q$.

It is convenient to say that a covering is given by vector fields D_x+A, D_t+B on the manifold $\mathcal{E}\times W$, where A, B are of the form (20), (21) for some functions α^j, β^j and satisfy (22). Note that equation (22) is equivalent to $[D_x+A,\,D_t+B]=0$.

Recall that a covering is of order $\le p$ iff A, B satisfy (23), (24).

We want to find a normal form for coverings with respect to the action of the group of gauge transformations. Consider first the case $m=1$, and set $u=u^1$. Thus the coordinates on $\mathcal{E}$ are x, t, u_k, $k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}$.

A point $a\in\mathcal{E}$ is determined by the values of the coordinates x, t, u_k at a. Let

$$a=(x=x_0,\,t=t_0,\,u_k=a_k)\,\in\,\mathcal{E},\qquad x_0,\,t_0,\,a_k\in\mathbb{C},\qquad k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0},$$

be a point of $\mathcal{E}$.

Remark 10. Let F be a function of the variables x, t, u_k. Let $s\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}$. Then the notation

$$F\,\Big|_{u_k=a_k,\ k\ge s}$$

means that we substitute $u_k=a_k$ for all $k\ge s$ in the function F.

Also, sometimes we need to substitute $x=x_0$ or $t=t_0$. For example, if $F=F(x,t,u_0,u_1,u_2,u_3)$, then

$$F\,\Big|_{x=x_0,\ u_k=a_k,\ k\ge2}=F(x_0,t,u_0,u_1,a_2,a_3).$$

Theorem 3. *Fix a covering of order $\le p$. For any $b\in W$, on a neighborhood of $(a,b)\in\mathcal{E}\times W$ there is a unique gauge transformation*

$$w^j\mapsto g^j(w^1,\dots,w^q,x,t,u_0,u_1,\dots),\qquad\qquad j=1,\dots,q,\tag{36}$$

such that

- *the transformed vector fields D_x+A, D_t+B satisfy for all $s\ge1$*

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_s}\,\Bigg|_{u_k=a_k,\ k\ge s}=0,\tag{37}$$

$$A\,\Big|_{u_k=a_k,\ k\ge0}=0,\tag{38}$$

$$B\,\Big|_{x=x_0,\ u_k=a_k,\ k\ge0}=0,\tag{39}$$

- *one has*

$$g^j\,\Big|_{x=x_0,\ t=t_0,\ u_k=a_k,\ k\ge0}=w^j,\qquad j=1,\dots,q.\tag{40}$$

Moreover, this gauge transformation obeys

$$\frac{\partial g^j}{\partial u_k} = 0 \qquad \forall\, k \geq p, \qquad j = 1, \dots, q, \tag{41}$$

and the transformed covering is also of order $\leq p$.

Proof. Suppose that the initial covering is given by vector fields $D_x + A$, $D_t + B$, where A, B do not necessarily satisfy (37), (38), (39).

We are going to construct a gauge transformation of the form (36), (40), (41) such that the transformed vector fields $D_x + A$, $D_t + B$ will satisfy (38), (39), and (37) for all $s \geq 1$.

We are going to construct the required gauge transformation in several steps. First, we will construct a transformation to achieve property (37), then another transformation to get properties (37), (38), and finally another transformation to obtain all properties (37), (38), (39).

Let us first prove that after a suitable gauge transformation one gets (37) for all $s \geq 1$.

Since the covering is of order $\leq p$, equation (37) is valid for all $s > p$. Let $n \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ be such that (37) holds for all $s \geq n + 1$. It is easily seen that this property is preserved by any gauge transformation of the form

$$w^j \mapsto \tilde{g}^j(w^1, \dots, w^q, x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{n-1}), \qquad j = 1, \dots, q. \tag{42}$$

Therefore, if we find a gauge transformation (42) such that after this transformation we get (37) for $s = n$, then we will get (37) for all $s \geq n$.

One has

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_n}\bigg|_{u_k = a_k,\ k \geq n} = \sum_{j=1}^{q} c^j(w^1, \dots, w^q, x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{n-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial w^j}$$

for some functions $c^j(w^1, \dots, w^q, x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{n-1})$. Consider the system of ordinary differential equations (ODE) with respect to the variable u_{n-1}

$$\frac{d}{du_{n-1}} \tilde{g}^j(w^1, \dots, w^q, x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{n-1}) = c^j(\tilde{g}^1, \dots, \tilde{g}^q, x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{n-1}),$$
$$j = 1, \dots, q,$$

for unknown functions $\tilde{g}^j$. Here $w^1, \dots, w^q$, x, t, $u_0, \dots, u_{n-2}$ are regarded as parameters. A local solution of this ODE with the initial condition

$$\tilde{g}^j(w^1, \dots, w^q, x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{n-2}, a_{n-1}) = w^j, \qquad j = 1, \dots, q,$$

determines transformation (42) such that after this transformation we get (37) for all $s \geq n$. Using induction, we obtain that, after a suitable gauge transformation, property (37) is valid for all $s \geq 1$.

Clearly, property (37) is preserved by any gauge transformation of the form

$$w^j \mapsto \hat{g}^j(w^1, \dots, w^q, x, t), \qquad j = 1, \dots, q. \tag{43}$$

Let us find a gauge transformation of the form (43) such that after this transformation we get (38). We have

$$A\bigg|_{u_k = a_k,\ k \geq 0} = \sum_{j=1}^{q} h^j(w^1, \dots, w^q, x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial w^j}.$$

for some functions $h^j(w^1, \dots, w^q, x, t)$. Consider the ODE with respect to the variable x

$$\frac{d}{dx} \hat{g}^j(w^1, \dots, w^q, x, t) = h^j(\hat{g}^1, \dots, \hat{g}^q, x, t), \qquad j = 1, \dots, q,$$

where $w^1, \dots, w^q, t$ are treated as parameters. Its local solution with the initial condition

$$\hat{g}^j(w^1, \dots, w^q, x_0, t) = w^j, \qquad j = 1, \dots, q,$$

determines the required transformation (43).

Properties (37), (38) are preserved by any gauge transformation of the form

$$w^j \mapsto \breve{g}^j(w^1,\dots,w^q,t), \qquad j=1,\dots,q. \tag{44}$$

One has

$$B\Big|_{x=x_0,\ u_k=a_k,\ k\geq 0}=\sum_{j=1}^{q} f^j(w^1,\dots,w^q,t)\frac{\partial}{\partial w^j}$$

for some functions $f^j(w^1,\dots,w^q,t)$. Consider the ODE with respect to t

$$\frac{d}{dt}\breve{g}^j(w^1,\dots,w^q,t)=f^j(\breve{g}^1,\dots,\breve{g}^q,t), \qquad j=1,\dots,q,$$

where $w^1,\dots,w^q$ are viewed as parameters. Its local solution with the initial condition

$$\breve{g}^j(w^1,\dots,w^q,t_0)=w^j, \qquad j=1,\dots,q,$$

determines a gauge transformation of the form (44) such that the transformed vector field D_x+B satisfies (39).

Thus we have found a gauge transformation of the form (36), (40), (41) such that the transformed vector fields $D_x+A,\ D_t+B$ obey (38), (39), and (37) for all $s\geq 1$. Since we have applied this transformation to a covering of order $\leq p$, equation (41) implies that the transformed covering is also of order $\leq p$.

It remains to prove uniqueness of such a gauge transformation.

Consider a covering given by D_x+A, D_t+B such that A, B satisfy (38), (39), and (37) for all $s\geq 1$. Consider a gauge transformation of the form

$$w^j \mapsto \bar{g}^j(w^1,\dots,w^q,x,t,u_0,u_1,\dots),$$
$$\bar{g}^j\Big|_{x=x_0,\ t=t_0,\ u_k=a_k,\ k\geq 0}=w^j, \qquad j=1,\dots,q,$$

such that, applying this transformation to D_x+A, D_t+B, we get vector fields D_x+A', D_t+B', where A', B' obey properties (37), (38), (39) as well.

We need to show that

$$\forall\, j \qquad \frac{\partial \bar{g}^j}{\partial u_k}=0 \qquad \forall\, k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \tag{45}$$

$$\forall\, j \qquad \frac{\partial \bar{g}^j}{\partial x}=0, \tag{46}$$

$$\forall\, j \qquad \frac{\partial \bar{g}^j}{\partial t}=0. \tag{47}$$

Suppose that (45) does not hold. Let l be the maximal integer such that $\dfrac{\partial \bar{g}^j}{\partial u_l}\neq 0$ for some j. Then it is easily seen that A' does not satisfy (37) for $s=l+1$.

If (45) is valid and (46) is not, then A' does not obey (38). Finally, if (45), (46) hold and (47) does not, then B' does not satisfy (39). ☐

Return to the case of arbitrary m and the coordinate system x, t, u^i_k for $\mathcal{E}$. Let

$$a=(x=x_0,\ t=t_0,\ u^i_k=a^i_k)\in\mathcal{E}, \qquad x_0,\ t_0,\ a^i_k\in\mathbb{C}, \qquad i=1,\dots,m, \qquad k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \tag{48}$$

be a point of $\mathcal{E}$. We want to obtain an analog of Theorem 3 for arbitrary m.

Consider the following ordering $\preceq$ of the set $\{1,\dots,m\}\times\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

$$i,i'\in\{1,\dots,m\}, \qquad k,k'\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \qquad k\neq k',$$

$$(i,k) \prec (i',k') \ \text{ iff } \ k<k', \qquad\qquad (i,k) \prec (i',k) \ \text{ iff } \ i<i'. \tag{49}$$

That is, $(1,0) \prec (2,0) \prec \dots \prec (m,0) \prec (1,1) \prec (2,1) \prec \dots$.

As usual, the notation $(i_1,k_1) \succeq (i_2,k_2)$ means that either $(i_1,k_1) \succ (i_2,k_2)$ or $(i_1,k_1) = (i_2,k_2)$.

Remark 11. Let F be a function of the variables x, t, u^i_k. Let $i' \in \{1,\dots,m\}$ and $k' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then the notation

$$F\,\Big|_{u^i_k=a^i_k \ \ \forall\,(i,k)\succ(i',k')}$$

says that we substitute $u^i_k = a^i_k$ for all $(i,k) \succ (i',k')$ in the function F.

Similarly, the notation

$$F\,\Big|_{x=x_0,\ u^i_k=a^i_k \ \ \forall\,(i,k)\succeq(i',k')}$$

means that we substitute $x = x_0$ and $u^i_k = a^i_k$ for all $(i,k) \succeq (i',k')$ in F.

Theorem 4. *Fix a covering of order $\leq p$. For any $b \in W$, on a neighborhood of $(a,b) \in \mathcal{E} \times W$ there is a unique gauge transformation*

$$w^j \mapsto g^j(w^1,\dots,w^q,x,t,u^i_k,\dots), \qquad\qquad j=1,\dots,q, \tag{50}$$

such that

- *the transformed vector fields $D_x + A$, $D_t + B$ satisfy for all $i_0 = 1,\dots,m$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$*

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial u^{i_0}_{k_0}}\,\Bigg|_{u^i_k=a^i_k \ \ \forall\,(i,k)\succ(i_0,k_0-1)} = 0, \tag{51}$$

$$A\,\Big|_{u^i_k=a^i_k \ \ \forall\,(i,k)\succeq(1,0)} = 0, \tag{52}$$

$$B\,\Big|_{x=x_0,\ u^i_k=a^i_k \ \ \forall\,(i,k)\succeq(1,0)} = 0, \tag{53}$$

- *one has*

$$g^j\,\Big|_{x=x_0,\ t=t_0,\ u^i_k=a^i_k \ \ \forall\,(i,k)\succeq(1,0)} = w^j, \qquad j=1,\dots,q. \tag{54}$$

Moreover, this gauge transformation obeys

$$\frac{\partial g^j}{\partial u^i_k} = 0 \qquad \forall\,k\geq p, \qquad i=1,\dots,m, \qquad j=1,\dots,q, \tag{55}$$

and the transformed covering is also of order $\leq p$.

Proof. Note that for $m = 1$ this theorem is equivalent to Theorem 3.

Suppose that the initial covering is given by vector fields $D_x + A$, $D_t + B$, where A, B do not necessarily satisfy (51), (52), (53). Since the covering is of order $\leq p$, we have (23).

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 3, we are going to construct a gauge transformation of the form (50), (54), (55) such that the transformed vector fields $D_x + A$, $D_t + B$ will satisfy (52), (53), and (51) for all $i_0 = 1,\dots,m$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Let us first prove that after a suitable gauge transformation one gets property (51) for all $i_0 = 1,\dots,m$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Let (i',k') be the minimal element with respect to the ordering (49) such that property (51) holds for all $(i_0,k_0) \succ (i',k')$. The minimal element exists, because A obeys (23).

If $k' = 0$, then (51) is valid for all $i_0 = 1,\dots,m$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Consider the case $k' > 0$. We have

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial u^{i'}_{k'}}\,\Bigg|_{u^i_k=a^i_k \ \ \forall\,(i,k)\succ(i',k'-1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{q} c^j(w^1,\dots,w^q,x,t,u^{i_1}_{k_1},\dots)\frac{\partial}{\partial w^j} \tag{56}$$

for some functions c^j, which may depend on the following variables

$$w^1,\dots,w^q,\quad x,\quad t,\quad u^{i_1}_{k_1},\quad (i_1,k_1)\preceq(i',k'-1). \tag{57}$$

Let us find a gauge transformation of the form

$$w^j\mapsto \tilde g^j(w^1,\dots,w^q,x,t,u^{i_1}_{k_1},\dots),\qquad j=1,\dots,q, \tag{58}$$

such that after this transformation we get property (51) for all $(i_0,k_0)\succeq(i',k')$. We assume that functions $\tilde g^j$ in (58) may depend only on the variables (57).

It is easy to check that such a transformation must satisfy the equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{i'}_{k'-1}}\tilde g^j(w^1,\dots,w^q,x,t,u^{i_1}_{k_1},\dots)=c^j(\tilde g^1,\dots,\tilde g^q,x,t,u^{i_1}_{k_1},\dots),\qquad j=1,\dots,q. \tag{59}$$

We regard (59) as a parameter-dependent system of ordinary differential equations (ODE) with respect to the variable $u^{i'}_{k'-1}$ and unknown functions $\tilde g^j$, where $w^1,\dots,w^q$, x, t, $u^{i_2}_{k_2}$ for $(i_2,k_2)\prec(i',k'-1)$ are viewed as parameters.

Since we are interested in gauge transformations satisfying (54), we choose the following initial condition for this ODE

$$\tilde g^j\Big|_{u^{i'}_{k'-1}=a^{i'}_{k'-1}}=w^j,\qquad j=1,\dots,q. \tag{60}$$

Then $\tilde g^1,\dots,\tilde g^q$ are defined as a solution of the ODE (59) with the initial condition (60).

By induction with respect to the ordering (49), we obtain that, after a suitable gauge transformation, property (51) is valid for all $i_0=1,\dots,m$ and $k_0\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

The other properties are proved similarly to the proof of Theorem 3. □

For each $n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}$, let $\mathcal{M}_n$ be the set of matrices of size $m\times(n+1)$ with nonnegative integer entries. For a matrix $\gamma\in\mathcal{M}_n$, its entries are denoted by $\gamma_{ik}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}$, where $i=1,\dots,m$ and $k=0,\dots,n$. Let U^γ be the following product

$$U^\gamma=\prod_{\substack{i=1,\dots,m,\\ k=0,\dots,n}}\left(u^i_k-a^i_k\right)^{\gamma_{ik}}. \tag{61}$$

For each $i_0=1,\dots,m$ and $k_0=1,\dots,n$ denote by $M^n_{i_0,k_0}\subset\mathcal{M}_n$ the subset of matrices α satisfying the following conditions

$$\alpha_{i_0k_0}=1,\quad \forall\,k>k_0\quad\forall\,i\quad \alpha_{ik}=0,\quad \forall\,i_1\neq i_0\quad \alpha_{i_1k_0}=0,\quad \forall\,i_2>i_0\quad \alpha_{i_2,k_0-1}=0. \tag{62}$$

In other words, for each $k>k_0$ the k-th column of any matrix $\alpha\in M^n_{i_0,k_0}$ is zero, the k_0-th column contains only one nonzero entry $\alpha_{i_0k_0}=1$, and in the (k_0-1)-th column one has $\alpha_{i_2,k_0-1}=0$ for all $i_2>i_0$.

Consider a covering of order $\le p$ given by vector fields D_x+A, D_t+B. Let $b\in W$. We are going to study the structure of this covering on a neighborhood of the point $(a,b)\in\mathcal{E}\times W$.

Recall that the vector fields A, B satisfy (23), (24) and are analytic, according to the convention from Section 1.3. Therefore, taking a sufficiently small neighborhood of (a,b), we can assume that A and B are represented as absolutely convergent series

$$A=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{M}_p,\ l_1,l_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}}(x-x_0)^{l_1}(t-t_0)^{l_2}\cdot U^\alpha\cdot A^{l_1,l_2}_\alpha, \tag{63}$$

$$B=\sum_{\beta\in\mathcal{M}_{p+d-1},\ l_1,l_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge0}}(x-x_0)^{l_1}(t-t_0)^{l_2}\cdot U^\beta\cdot B^{l_1,l_2}_\beta, \tag{64}$$

where A^{l_1,l_2}_α, B^{l_1,l_2}_β are vector fields on W.

Remark 12. According to Theorem 4, after a suitable gauge transformation we get properties (52), (53), and (51) for all $i_0 = 1, \dots, m$ and $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Using formulas (63), (64), we obtain that these properties are equivalent to

$$A_0^{l_1,l_2} = B_0^{0,l_2} = 0, \quad A_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{l_1,l_2} = 0, \quad \tilde{\alpha} \in M^p_{i_0,k_0}, \quad i_0 = 1, \dots, m, \quad k_0 = 1, \dots, p, \quad l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \tag{65}$$

2.3. The algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

Remark 13. The main idea of the definition of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ can be informally outlined as follows. According to Theorem 4 and Remark 12, any covering of order $\leq p$ is locally gauge equivalent to a covering given by vector fields A, B that are of the form (63), (64) and satisfy (22), (65).

To define $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, we regard $A_\alpha^{l_1,l_2}$, $B_\beta^{l_1,l_2}$ from (63), (64) as abstract symbols. By definition, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is generated by the symbols $A_\alpha^{l_1,l_2}$, $B_\beta^{l_1,l_2}$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_p$, $\beta \in \mathcal{M}_{p+d-1}$, $l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Relations for these generators are provided by equations (22), (65). The details of this construction are presented below.

Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be the free Lie algebra generated by the symbols $\mathbf{A}_\alpha^{l_1,l_2}$, $\mathbf{B}_\beta^{l_1,l_2}$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_p$, $\beta \in \mathcal{M}_{p+d-1}$, $l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In particular, we have

$$\mathbf{A}_\alpha^{l_1,l_2} \in \mathfrak{F}, \quad \mathbf{B}_\beta^{l_1,l_2} \in \mathfrak{F}, \quad \big[\mathbf{A}_\alpha^{l_1,l_2}, \mathbf{B}_\beta^{l_1,l_2}\big] \in \mathfrak{F} \qquad \forall\, \alpha \in \mathcal{M}_p, \qquad \forall\, \beta \in \mathcal{M}_{p+d-1}, \qquad \forall\, l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Consider the following power series with coefficients in $\mathfrak{F}$

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_p,\ l_1,l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (x - x_0)^{l_1} (t - t_0)^{l_2} \cdot U^\alpha \cdot \mathbf{A}_\alpha^{l_1,l_2},$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{M}_{p+d-1},\ l_1,l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (x - x_0)^{l_1} (t - t_0)^{l_2} \cdot U^\beta \cdot \mathbf{B}_\beta^{l_1,l_2}.$$

For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_p$, $\beta \in \mathcal{M}_{p+d-1}$, $l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the expressions $D_x\big((x - x_0)^{l_1}(t - t_0)^{l_2} U^\beta\big)$ and $D_t\big((x - x_0)^{l_1}(t - t_0)^{l_2} U^\alpha\big)$ are functions of the variables x, t, u^i_k. Taking the corresponding Taylor series at the point (48), we regard these expressions as power series. Let

$$D_x(\mathbf{B}) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{M}_{p+d-1},\ l_1,l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} D_x\big((x - x_0)^{l_1}(t - t_0)^{l_2} U^\beta\big) \cdot \mathbf{B}_\beta^{l_1,l_2},$$

$$D_t(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_p,\ l_1,l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} D_t\big((x - x_0)^{l_1}(t - t_0)^{l_2} U^\alpha\big) \cdot \mathbf{A}_\alpha^{l_1,l_2},$$

$$[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}] = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_p,\ \beta \in \mathcal{M}_{p+d-1}, \\ l_1,l_2,l'_1,l'_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} (x - x_0)^{l_1 + l'_1} (t - t_0)^{l_2 + l'_2} \cdot U^\alpha \cdot U^\beta \cdot \big[\mathbf{A}_\alpha^{l_1,l_2}, \mathbf{B}_\beta^{l'_1,l'_2}\big].$$

We have

$$D_x(\mathbf{B}) - D_t(\mathbf{A}) + [\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}] = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{p+d},\ l_1,l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (x - x_0)^{l_1} (t - t_0)^{l_2} \cdot U^\gamma \cdot \mathbf{Z}_\gamma^{l_1,l_2}$$

for some elements $\mathbf{Z}_\gamma^{l_1,l_2} \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Let $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathfrak{F}$ be the ideal generated by the elements

$$\mathbf{Z}_\gamma^{l_1,l_2}, \qquad \mathbf{A}_0^{l_1,l_2}, \qquad \mathbf{B}_0^{0,l_2}, \qquad \gamma \in \mathcal{M}_{p+d}, \qquad l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{l_1,l_2}, \qquad \tilde{\alpha} \in M^p_{i_0,k_0}, \qquad i_0 = 1, \dots, m, \qquad k_0 = 1, \dots, p, \qquad l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Set $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a) = \mathfrak{F}/\mathfrak{I}$. Consider the natural homomorphism $\rho\colon \mathfrak{F} \to \mathfrak{F}/\mathfrak{I} = \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ and set

$$\mathbb{A}_\alpha^{l_1,l_2} = \rho\big(\mathbf{A}_\alpha^{l_1,l_2}\big), \qquad \mathbb{B}_\beta^{l_1,l_2} = \rho\big(\mathbf{B}_\beta^{l_1,l_2}\big).$$

The definition of $\mathfrak{I}$ implies that the power series

$$\mathbb{A} = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{M}_p,\ l_1,l_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (x-x_0)^{l_1}(t-t_0)^{l_2}\cdot U^{\alpha}\cdot \mathbb{A}^{l_1,l_2}_{\alpha}, \tag{66}$$

$$\mathbb{B} = \sum_{\beta\in\mathcal{M}_{p+d-1},\ l_1,l_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (x-x_0)^{l_1}(t-t_0)^{l_2}\cdot U^{\beta}\cdot \mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{\beta}. \tag{67}$$

satisfy

$$D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}] = 0. \tag{68}$$

Remark 14. The Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)$ can be described in terms of generators and relations as follows.

Equation (68) is equivalent to some Lie algebraic relations for $\mathbb{A}^{l_1,l_2}_{\alpha}$, $\mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{\beta}$.

The algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)$ is given by the generators $\mathbb{A}^{l_1,l_2}_{\alpha}$, $\mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{\beta}$, the relations arising from (68), and the following relations

$$\mathbb{A}^{l_1,l_2}_{0} = \mathbb{B}^{0,l_2}_{0} = 0, \quad \mathbb{A}^{l_1,l_2}_{\tilde\alpha} = 0, \quad \tilde\alpha \in M^{p}_{i_0,k_0}, \quad i_0 = 1,\dots,m, \quad k_0 = 1,\dots,p, \quad l_1,l_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \tag{69}$$

Recall that an *action* of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}$ on a manifold W is a homomorphism from $\mathfrak{L}$ to the Lie algebra $\mathcal{D}(W)$ of vector fields on W.

Let W_1, W_2 be manifolds, and $\rho_i\colon \mathfrak{L}\to\mathcal{D}(W_i)$ be an action of $\mathfrak{L}$ on W_i for $i=1,2$. A *morphism* connecting the actions $\rho_i\colon \mathfrak{L}\to\mathcal{D}(W_i)$, $i=1,2$, is a map $\varphi\colon W_1\to W_2$ such that for any $Y\in\mathfrak{L}$ one has $\varphi_*(\rho_1(Y)) = \rho_2(Y)$, where φ_* is the differential of φ.

Suppose that we have an action of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)$ on a manifold W given by

$$\mathbb{A}^{l_1,l_2}_{\alpha} \mapsto A^{l_1,l_2}_{\alpha}\in\mathcal{D}(W), \qquad \mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{\beta} \mapsto B^{l_1,l_2}_{\beta}\in\mathcal{D}(W)$$

such that the corresponding power series (63), (64) are absolutely convergent on a neighborhood of a. Then from (68) it follows that (63), (64) satisfy (22) and, therefore, determine a covering.

Combining this construction with Theorem 4 and Remark 12, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 5. *Any covering of order $\leq p$ on a neighborhood of $a\in\mathcal{E}$ is locally gauge equivalent to the covering arising from an action of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)$.*

For a fixed covering of order $\leq p$, the corresponding action of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)$ is defined uniquely up to a local isomorphism.

Suppose that $p\geq 1$. Since any covering of order $\leq p-1$ is at the same time of order $\leq p$, we have the surjective homomorphism $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)\to\mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E},a)$ that maps the generators

$$\mathbb{A}^{l_1,l_2}_{\alpha}, \qquad \exists\, i \qquad \alpha_{i,p}\neq 0,$$
$$\mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{\beta}, \qquad \exists\, i' \qquad \beta_{i',p+d-1}\neq 0,$$

to zero and maps the other generators of $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)$ to the corresponding generators of $\mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E},a)$.

Thus we obtain the following sequence of surjective homomorphisms of Lie algebras

$$\dots\to\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)\to\mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E},a)\to\dots\to\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E},a)\to\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a). \tag{70}$$

3. THE HOMOMORPHISMS $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)\to\mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E},a)$ AND $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)\to\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)$ FOR KDV TYPE EQUATIONS

In this section we study the algebras (70) for equations of the form

$$u_t = u_{xxx} + f(u,u_x), \tag{71}$$

where f is an arbitrary function.

Set $u_0 = u$ and $u_k = \dfrac{\partial^k u}{\partial x^k}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the infinite prolongation of equation (71). Then $\mathcal{E}$ is the infinite-dimensional manifold with the coordinates x, t, u_k, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$.

For equation (71), the total derivative operators (14) are

$$D_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sum_{k\ge 0} u_{k+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}, \qquad D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{k\ge 0} D_x^k\big(u_3 + f(u_0,u_1)\big)\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}. \tag{72}$$

Consider an arbitrary point $a \in \mathcal{E}$ given by

$$a = (x = x_0,\ t = t_0,\ u_k = a_k)\ \in\ \mathcal{E}, \qquad x_0,\ t_0,\ a_k \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}. \tag{73}$$

Since equation (71) is invariant with respect to the change of variables $x \mapsto x - x_0$, $t \mapsto t - t_0$, we can assume $x_0 = t_0 = 0$.

According to Section 2.3, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is described as follows. Consider formal power series

$$\mathbb{A} = \sum_{l_1,l_2,i_0,\dots,i_p\ge 0} x^{l_1}t^{l_2}(u_0-a_0)^{i_0}\dots(u_p-a_p)^{i_p}\cdot \mathbb{A}^{l_1,l_2}_{i_0\dots i_p}, \tag{74}$$

$$\mathbb{B} = \sum_{l_1,l_2,j_0,\dots,j_{p+2}\ge 0} x^{l_1}t^{l_2}(u_0-a_0)^{j_0}\dots(u_{p+2}-a_{p+2})^{j_{p+2}}\cdot \mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{j_0\dots j_{p+2}}, \tag{75}$$

satisfying

$$\mathbb{A}^{l_1,l_2}_{i_0\dots i_p} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \exists\, r \in \{1,\dots,p\} \quad \text{such that} \quad i_r = 1, \quad i_n = 0 \quad \forall\, n > r, \tag{76}$$

$$\mathbb{A}^{l_1,l_2}_{0\dots 0} = 0 \qquad \forall\, l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}, \tag{77}$$

$$\mathbb{B}^{0,l_2}_{0\dots 0} = 0 \qquad \forall\, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}. \tag{78}$$

Then $\mathbb{A}^{l_1,l_2}_{i_0\dots i_p}$, $\mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{j_0\dots j_{p+2}}$ are generators of the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$, and the equation

$$D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}] = 0 \tag{79}$$

provides relations for these generators (in addition to relations (76), (77), (78)).

Note that condition (76) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_s}(\mathbb{A})\,\bigg|_{u_k=a_k,\ k\ge s} = 0 \qquad \forall\, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}. \tag{80}$$

Using (72), we can rewrite equation (79) as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\mathbb{B}) + \sum_{k=0}^{p+2} u_{k+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbb{B}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathbb{A}) - \sum_{k=0}^{p}\Big(u_{k+3} + D_x^k\big(f(u_0,u_1)\big)\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}] = 0. \tag{81}$$

Proposition 5. *The elements*

$$\mathbb{A}^{l_1,0}_{i_0\dots i_p}, \qquad l_1, i_0, \dots, i_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}, \tag{82}$$

generate the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

Proof. For each $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$, denote by $\mathfrak{g}_l \subset \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ the subalgebra generated by the elements $\mathbb{A}^{l_1,l_2}_{i_0\dots i_p}$ with $l_2 \le l$.

Lemma 1. *Let* $l_1, l_2, j_0, \dots, j_{p+2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ *be such that* $j_0 + \dots + j_{p+2} > 0$. *Then* $\mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{j_0\dots j_{p+2}} \in \mathfrak{g}_{l_2}$.

Proof. For any $j_0,\dots,j_{p+2}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ satisfying $j_0+\dots+j_{p+2}>0$, denote by $\rho(j_0,\dots,j_{p+2})$ the maximal integer $r\in\{0,1,\dots,p+2\}$ such that $j_r\neq 0$.

Differentiating (81) with respect to u_{p+3}, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{p+2}}(\mathbb{B})=\frac{\partial}{\partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}),\tag{83}$$

which implies $\mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{j_0\dots j_{p+2}}\in\mathfrak{g}_{l_2}$ for all $l_1,l_2,j_0,\dots,j_{p+2}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ obeying $\rho(j_0,\dots,j_{p+2})=p+2$.

Let $n\in\{0,1,\dots,p+1\}$ be such that

$$\mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{j'_0\dots j'_{p+2}}\in\mathfrak{g}_{l_2}\quad\text{for all } l_1,l_2,j'_0,\dots,j'_{p+2}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}\text{ satisfying }\rho(j'_0,\dots,j'_{p+2})>n.\tag{84}$$

We are going to show that $\mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{\tilde{j}_0\dots\tilde{j}_{p+2}}\in\mathfrak{g}_{l_2}$ for all $l_1,l_2,\tilde{j}_0,\dots,\tilde{j}_{p+2}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ satisfying $\rho(\tilde{j}_0,\dots,\tilde{j}_{p+2})=n$.

For any power series C of the form

$$C=\sum_{l_1,l_2,d_0,\dots,d_k\ge 0}x^{l_1}t^{l_2}(u_0-a_0)^{d_0}\dots(u_k-a_k)^{d_k}\cdot C^{l_1,l_2}_{d_0\dots d_k},\qquad C^{l_1,l_2}_{d_0\dots d_k}\in\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a),$$

set

$$\mathbf{S}(C)=\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n+1}}(C)\Big)\Big|_{u_k=a_k,\ k\ge n+1}.$$

That is, in order to obtain $\mathbf{S}(C)$, we differentiate C with respect to u_{n+1} and then substitute $u_k=a_k$ for all $k\ge n+1$.

Equation (80) implies

$$\mathbf{S}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathbb{A})\Big)=0.\tag{85}$$

Combining (81) with (85), we get

$$\mathbf{S}\big(D_x(\mathbb{B})\big)=\mathbf{S}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{p}\Big(u_{k+3}+D_x^k\big(f(u_0,u_1)\big)\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbb{A})\Big)-\mathbf{S}\big([\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}]\big).\tag{86}$$

In equation (86), we regard $f(u_0,u_1)$ as a power series, using the Taylor series of the function $f(u_0,u_1)$ at the point (48).

Using (75), one obtains

$$\begin{gathered}\mathbf{S}\big(D_x(\mathbb{B})\big)=\sum_{\substack{l_1,l_2,j_0,\dots,j_{p+2}\ge 0,\\ \rho(j_0,\dots,j_{p+2})=n}}j_nx^{l_1}t^{l_2}(u_0-a_0)^{j_0}\dots(u_{n-1}-a_{n-1})^{j_{n-1}}(u_n-a_n)^{j_n-1}\mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{j_0\dots j_{p+2}}+\\+\mathbf{S}\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{l_1,l_2,j_0,\dots,j_{p+2}\ge 0,\\ \rho(j_0,\dots,j_{p+2})>n}}t^{l_2}D_x\Big(x^{l_1}(u_0-a_0)^{j_0}\dots(u_{p+2}-a_{p+2})^{j_{p+2}}\Big)\cdot\mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{j_0\dots j_{p+2}}\Bigg).\end{gathered}\tag{87}$$

From (80) it follows that $\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{A})=0$, which yields

$$\begin{gathered}\mathbf{S}\big([\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}]\big)=\Big[\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{A}),\,\mathbb{B}\Big|_{u_k=a_k,\ k\ge n+1}\Big]+\Big[\mathbb{A}\Big|_{u_k=a_k,\ k\ge n+1},\,\mathbf{S}(\mathbb{B})\Big]=\\=\Bigg[\mathbb{A}\Big|_{u_k=a_k,\ k\ge n+1},\,\mathbf{S}\Bigg(\sum_{\substack{l_1,l_2,j_0,\dots,j_{p+2}\ge 0,\\ \rho(j_0,\dots,j_{p+2})>n}}x^{l_1}t^{l_2}(u_0-a_0)^{j_0}\dots(u_{p+2}-a_{p+2})^{j_{p+2}}\cdot\mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{j_0\dots j_{p+2}}\Bigg)\Bigg].\end{gathered}\tag{88}$$

In view of (87), (88), for any $l_1, l_2, \tilde\jmath_0, \dots, \tilde\jmath_{p+2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying $\rho(\tilde\jmath_0, \dots, \tilde\jmath_{p+2}) = n$ the element $\mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{\tilde\jmath_0\dots\tilde\jmath_{p+2}}$ appears only once on the left-hand side of (86) and does not appear on the right-hand side of (86).

Combining (86), (87), (88), we obtain that the element $\mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{\tilde\jmath_0\dots\tilde\jmath_{p+2}}$ is equal to a linear combination of elements of the form

$$\mathbb{A}^{l'_1,l'_2}_{i_0\dots i_p}, \quad \mathbb{B}^{\hat l_1,\hat l_2}_{\hat\jmath_0\dots\hat\jmath_{p+2}}, \quad \Big[\mathbb{A}^{l'_1,l'_2}_{i_0\dots i_p}, \mathbb{B}^{\hat l_1,\hat l_2}_{\hat\jmath_0\dots\hat\jmath_{p+2}}\Big], \quad l'_2 \leq l_2, \quad \hat l_2 \leq l_2, \quad \rho(\hat\jmath_0, \dots, \hat\jmath_{p+2}) > n. \tag{89}$$

Obviously, for any $\hat l_2 \leq l_2$ one has $\mathfrak{g}_{\hat l_2} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{l_2}$. Taking into account assumption (84), we obtain that the elements (89) belong to $\mathfrak{g}_{l_2}$. Hence $\mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{\tilde\jmath_0\dots\tilde\jmath_{p+2}} \in \mathfrak{g}_{l_2}$.

The proof is completed by induction. ☐

Lemma 2. *For all $l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, one has $\mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{0\dots0} \in \mathfrak{g}_{l_2}$.*

Proof. According to (78), we have $\mathbb{B}^{0,l_2}_{0\dots0} = 0$. Therefore, it is sufficient to prove $\mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{0\dots0} \in \mathfrak{g}_{l_2}$ for $l_1 > 0$.

Note that condition (77) implies

$$\mathbb{A}\Big|_{u_k=a_k,\ k\geq 0} = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\mathbb{A})\Big|_{u_k=a_k,\ k\geq 0} = 0. \tag{90}$$

In view of (75), one has

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\mathbb{B})\Big|_{u_k=a_k,\ k\geq 0} = \sum_{l_1>0,\ l_2\geq 0} l_1 x^{l_1-1} t^{l_2} \cdot \mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{0\dots0}. \tag{91}$$

Substituting $u_k = a_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ in (81) and using (90), (91), we get

$$\begin{multline} \sum_{l_1>0,\ l_2\geq 0} l_1 x^{l_1-1} t^{l_2} \cdot \mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{0\dots0} = \\ = -\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{p+2} u_{k+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbb{B})\Big)\Big|_{u_k=a_k,\ k\geq 0} + \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{p} \Big(u_{k+3} + D_x^k\big(f(u_0,u_1)\big)\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbb{A})\Big)\Big|_{u_k=a_k,\ k\geq 0}. \end{multline} \tag{92}$$

Combining (74), (75), (92), we see that for any $l_1 > 0$ and $l_2 \geq 0$ the element $\mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{0\dots0}$ is equal to a linear combination of elements of the form

$$\mathbb{A}^{l'_1,l_2}_{i_0\dots i_p}, \qquad \mathbb{B}^{l'_1,l_2}_{j_0\dots j_{p+2}}, \qquad l'_1, i_0, \dots, i_p, j_0, \dots, j_{p+2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \qquad j_0 + \dots + j_{p+2} = 1. \tag{93}$$

According to Lemma 1 and the definition of $\mathfrak{g}_{l_2}$, the elements (93) belong to $\mathfrak{g}_{l_2}$. Thus $\mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{0\dots0} \in \mathfrak{g}_{l_2}$. ☐

Lemma 3. *For all $l_1, l, i_0, \dots, i_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have $\mathbb{A}^{l_1,l+1}_{i_0\dots i_p} \in \mathfrak{g}_l$.*

Proof. Using (74), we can rewrite equation (81) as

$$\begin{multline} \sum_{l_1,l,i_0,\dots,i_p\geq 0} (l+1) x^{l_1} t^{l} (u_0-a_0)^{i_0} \dots (u_p-a_p)^{i_p} \cdot \mathbb{A}^{l_1,l+1}_{i_0\dots i_p} = \\ = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\mathbb{B}) + \sum_{k=0}^{p+2} u_{k+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbb{B}) - \sum_{k=0}^{p} \Big(u_{k+3} + D_x^k\big(f(u_0,u_1)\big)\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}]. \end{multline}$$

This implies that $\mathbb{A}^{l_1,l+1}_{i_0\dots i_p}$ is equal to a linear combination of elements of the form

$$\mathbb{A}^{\hat l_1,\hat l_2}_{\hat\imath_0\dots\hat\imath_p}, \quad \mathbb{B}^{\tilde l_1,\tilde l_2}_{\tilde\jmath_0\dots\tilde\jmath_{p+2}}, \quad \Big[\mathbb{A}^{\hat l_1,\hat l_2}_{\hat\imath_0\dots\hat\imath_p}, \mathbb{B}^{\tilde l_1,\tilde l_2}_{\tilde\jmath_0\dots\tilde\jmath_{p+2}}\Big], \quad \hat l_2 \leq l, \quad \tilde l_2 \leq l, \quad \hat\imath_0, \dots, \hat\imath_p, \tilde\jmath_0, \dots, \tilde\jmath_{p+2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \tag{94}$$

Using Lemmas 1, 2 and the condition $\tilde{l}_2 \le l$, we get $\mathbb{B}^{\tilde{l}_1,\tilde{l}_2}_{\tilde{j}_0\dots\tilde{j}_{p+2}} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{l}_2} \subset \mathfrak{g}_l$. Therefore, the elements (94) belong to $\mathfrak{g}_l$. Hence $\mathbb{A}^{l_1,l+1}_{i_0\dots i_p} \in \mathfrak{g}_l$. □

Return to the proof of Proposition 5. According to Lemmas 1, 2 and the definition of $\mathfrak{g}_l$, we have $\mathbb{A}^{l_1,l_2}_{i_0\dots i_p}, \mathbb{B}^{l_1,l_2}_{j_0\dots j_{p+2}} \in \mathfrak{g}_{l_2}$ for all $l_1, l_2, i_0, \dots i_p, j_0, \dots, j_{p+2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$. Lemma 3 implies that

$$\mathfrak{g}_{l_2} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{l_2-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{l_2-2} \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{g}_0.$$

Therefore, $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E}, a)$ is equal to $\mathfrak{g}_0$, which is generated by the elements (82). □

From (83) it follows that $\mathbb{B}$ is of the form

$$\mathbb{B} = u_{p+2}\frac{\partial}{\partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) + \mathbb{B}_0(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+1}), \tag{95}$$

where $\mathbb{B}_0(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+1})$ is a power series in the variables x, t, $u_0 - a_0, \dots, u_{p+1} - a_{p+1}$.

Differentiating (81) with respect to u_{p+2}, u_{p+1} and using (95), one gets

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+1} \partial u_{p+1}}(\mathbb{B}_0) = 0.$$

Therefore, $\mathbb{B}_0 = \mathbb{B}_0(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p+1})$ is of the form

$$\mathbb{B}_0 = -\frac{1}{2}(u_{p+1})^2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) + u_{p+1}\mathbb{B}_{01}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_p) + \mathbb{B}_{00}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_p), \tag{96}$$

where $\mathbb{B}_{0i}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_p)$ is a power series in the variables x, t, $u_0 - a_0, \dots, u_p - a_p$ for $i = 0, 1$.

Applying the operator $\dfrac{\partial^3}{\partial u_{p+1}\partial u_{p+1}\partial u_{p+1}}$ to equation (81) and using (95), (96), we get

$$\frac{\partial^3}{\partial u_p \partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{A}) = 0.$$

Hence $\mathbb{A}$ is of the form

$$\mathbb{A} = (u_p - a_p)^2\mathbb{A}_2(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p-1}) + (u_p - a_p)\mathbb{A}_1(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p-1}) + \mathbb{A}_0(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p-1}), \tag{97}$$

where $\mathbb{A}_j(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p-1})$ is a power series in the variables x, t, $u_0 - a_0, \dots, u_{p-1} - a_{p-1}$ for $j = 0, 1, 2$.

Equation (80) for $s = p$ yields

$$\mathbb{A}_1(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p-1}) = 0. \tag{98}$$

Combining (95), (96), (97), (98), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{B} = 2u_{p+2}(u_p - a_p)\mathbb{A}_2(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p-1}) - (u_{p+1})^2\mathbb{A}_2(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p-1}) +& \\ + u_{p+1}\mathbb{B}_{01}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_p) + \mathbb{B}_{00}(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_p).& \end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

Applying the operator $\dfrac{\partial^2}{\partial u_{p+1}\partial u_{p+1}}$ to equation (81), one gets

$$-2D_x(\mathbb{A}_2) + 2\frac{\partial}{\partial u_p}(\mathbb{B}_{01}) - 2[\mathbb{A}_0, \mathbb{A}_2] = 0. \tag{100}$$

Differentiating (100) with respect to u_p, we obtain

$$-2\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{p-1}}(\mathbb{A}_2) + 2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{B}_{01}) = 0. \tag{101}$$

Applying the operator $\dfrac{\partial^3}{\partial u_p \partial u_p \partial u_{p+2}}$ to equation (81), one gets

$$4\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{p-1}}(\mathbb{A}_2) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_p}(\mathbb{B}_{01}) - 2\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{p-1}}(\mathbb{A}_2) = 0 \tag{102}$$

Equations (101), (102) imply

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{p-1}}\big(\mathbb{A}_2(x, t, u_0, \dots, u_{p-1})\big) = 0. \tag{103}$$

Applying the operator $\dfrac{\partial^2}{\partial u_p \partial u_{p+2}}$ to equation (81) and using (103), we get

$$2D_x(\mathbb{A}_2) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u_p}(\mathbb{B}_{01}) + 2[\mathbb{A}_0, \mathbb{A}_2] = 0. \tag{104}$$

Combining (104) with (100), we obtain

$$D_x(\mathbb{A}_2) + [\mathbb{A}_0, \mathbb{A}_2] = 0. \tag{105}$$

Lemma 4. *One has*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_k}(\mathbb{A}_2) = 0 \qquad \forall\, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \tag{106}$$

Proof. Suppose that (106) does not hold. Let k_0 be the maximal integer such that $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial u_{k_0}}(\mathbb{A}_2) \neq 0$.

From (103) it follows that $k_0 < p - 1$. Equation (80) for $s = k_0 + 1$ implies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{k_0+1}}(\mathbb{A}_0)\bigg|_{u_k = a_k,\ k \geq k_0+1} = 0. \tag{107}$$

Differentiating (105) with respect to u_{k_0+1}, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{k_0}}(\mathbb{A}_2) + \Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{k_0+1}}(\mathbb{A}_0),\ \mathbb{A}_2\Big] = 0. \tag{108}$$

Substituting $u_k = a_k$ in (108) for all $k \geq k_0 + 1$ and using (107), one gets $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial u_{k_0}}(\mathbb{A}_2) = 0$, which contradicts to our assumption. □

From (106) it follows that equation (105) reads

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\mathbb{A}_2) + [\mathbb{A}_0, \mathbb{A}_2] = 0. \tag{109}$$

Note that condition (77) implies

$$\mathbb{A}_0\bigg|_{u_k = a_k,\ k \geq 0} = 0. \tag{110}$$

Substituting $u_k = a_k$ in (109) for all $k \geq 0$ and using (106), (110), we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\mathbb{A}_2) = 0. \tag{111}$$

Combining (111) with (109), one obtains

$$[\mathbb{A}_2, \mathbb{A}_0] = 0. \tag{112}$$

In view of (74), (97), we have

$$\mathbb{A}_0=\sum_{l_1,l_2,i_0,\dots,i_{p-1}\ge 0} x^{l_1}t^{l_2}(u_0-a_0)^{i_0}\dots(u_{p-1}-a_{p-1})^{i_{p-1}}\cdot\mathbb{A}^{l_1,l_2}_{i_0\dots i_{p-1}0} \tag{113}$$

According to (74), (97), (106), (111), one has

$$\mathbb{A}_2=\sum_{l\ge 0}t^l\cdot\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^l,\qquad\qquad \tilde{\mathbb{A}}^l=\mathbb{A}^{0,l}_{0\dots 02}\in\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a). \tag{114}$$

Combining (97), (98), (113), (114) with Proposition 5, we obtain that the elements

$$\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^0,\qquad \mathbb{A}^{l_1,0}_{i_0\dots i_{p-1}0},\qquad l_1,i_0,\dots,i_{p-1}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}, \tag{115}$$

generate the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)$.

Substituting $t=0$ in (112) and using (113), (114), one gets

$$\big[\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^0,\mathbb{A}^{l_1,0}_{i_0\dots i_{p-1}0}\big]=0\qquad\forall\, l_1,i_0,\dots,i_{p-1}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}. \tag{116}$$

Since the elements (115) generate the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)$, equation (116) yields

$$\big[\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^0,\,\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)\big]=0. \tag{117}$$

Lemma 5. *One has*

$$\big[\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^l,\,\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)\big]=0\qquad\qquad\forall\, l\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}. \tag{118}$$

Proof. We prove (118) by induction on l. The property $\big[\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^0,\,\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)\big]=0$ was obtained in (117). Let $n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$ be such that $\big[\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^l,\,\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)\big]=0$ for all $l\le n$. Since $\dfrac{\partial^l}{\partial t^l}(\mathbb{A}_2)\Big|_{t=0}=l!\cdot\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^l$, we get

$$\left[\frac{\partial^l}{\partial t^l}(\mathbb{A}_2)\Big|_{t=0},\ \frac{\partial^m}{\partial t^m}(\mathbb{A}_0)\Big|_{t=0}\right]=0\qquad\forall\, l\le n,\qquad\forall\, m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}. \tag{119}$$

Applying the operator $\dfrac{\partial^{n+1}}{\partial t^{n+1}}$ to equation (112), substituting $t=0$, and using (119), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}0=\frac{\partial^{n+1}}{\partial t^{n+1}}\big([\mathbb{A}_2,\mathbb{A}_0]\big)\Big|_{t=0}&=\sum_{k=0}^{n+1}\binom{n+1}{k}\cdot\left[\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k}(\mathbb{A}_2)\Big|_{t=0},\ \frac{\partial^{n+1-k}}{\partial t^{n+1-k}}(\mathbb{A}_0)\Big|_{t=0}\right]=\\ &=\left[\frac{\partial^{n+1}}{\partial t^{n+1}}(\mathbb{A}_2)\Big|_{t=0},\ \mathbb{A}_0\Big|_{t=0}\right]=\\ &=\left[(n+1)!\cdot\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^{n+1},\ \sum_{l_1,i_0,\dots,i_{p-1}}x^{l_1}(u_0-a_0)^{i_0}\dots(u_{p-1}-a_{p-1})^{i_{p-1}}\cdot\mathbb{A}^{l_1,0}_{i_0\dots i_{p-1}0}\right],\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\big[\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^{n+1},\,\mathbb{A}^{l_1,0}_{i_0\dots i_{p-1}0}\big]=0\qquad\qquad\forall\, l_1,i_0,\dots,i_{p-1}\in\mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}. \tag{120}$$

Equation (117) yields

$$\big[\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^0,\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^{n+1}\big]=0. \tag{121}$$

Since the elements (115) generate the algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)$, from (120), (121) it follows that $\big[\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^{n+1},\,\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)\big]=0$. □

Theorem 6. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the infinite prolongation of equation* (71)*. Let $a \in \mathcal{E}$. For each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, consider the homomorphism $\varphi_p \colon \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a) \to \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E},a)$ constructed in* (70)*. We have*

$$[v_1, v_2] = 0 \qquad \forall\, v_1 \in \ker\varphi_p, \qquad \forall\, v_2 \in \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a). \tag{122}$$

That is, the kernel of φ_p is contained in the center of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $\psi_k \colon \mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E},a) \to \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)$ be the composition of the homomorphisms

$$\mathbb{F}^k(\mathcal{E},a) \to \mathbb{F}^{k-1}(\mathcal{E},a) \to \dots \to \mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E},a) \to \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)$$

from (70)*. Then*

$$[h_1, [h_2, \dots, [h_{k-1}, [h_k, h_{k+1}]] \dots]] = 0 \qquad \forall\, h_1, \dots, h_{k+1} \in \ker\psi_k. \tag{123}$$

In particular, the kernel of ψ_k is nilpotent.

Proof. Combining formulas (97), (99), (114) with the definition of $\varphi_p \colon \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a) \to \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E},a)$, we see that $\ker\varphi_p$ is generated by the elements $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}^l$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$. Then (122) follows from (118).

So we have proved that the kernel of the homomorphism $\varphi_p \colon \mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a) \to \mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E},a)$ is contained in the center of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)$ for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Let us prove (123) by induction on k. Since $\psi_1 = \varphi_1$, for $k = 1$ property (123) follows from (122). Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that (123) is valid for $k = n$. Then for any $h'_1, h'_2, \dots, h'_{n+2} \in \ker\psi_{n+1}$ we have

$$\big[\varphi_{n+1}(h'_2), \big[\varphi_{n+1}(h'_3), \dots, \big[\varphi_{n+1}(h'_n), \big[\varphi_{n+1}(h'_{n+1}), \varphi_{n+1}(h'_{n+2})\big]\big] \dots \big]\big] = 0, \tag{124}$$

because $\varphi_{n+1}(h'_i) \in \ker\psi_n$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n+2$. Equation (124) says that

$$\big[h'_2, \big[h'_3, \dots, \big[h'_n, \big[h'_{n+1}, h'_{n+2}\big]\big] \dots \big]\big] \in \ker\varphi_{n+1}. \tag{125}$$

Since $\ker\varphi_{n+1}$ is contained in the center of $\mathbb{F}^{n+1}(\mathcal{E},a)$, property (125) yields

$$\big[h'_1, \big[h'_2, \big[h'_3, \dots, \big[h'_n, \big[h'_{n+1}, h'_{n+2}\big]\big] \dots \big]\big]\big] = 0.$$

So we have proved (123) for $k = n+1$. Clearly, property (123) implies that $\ker\psi_k$ is nilpotent. □

4. RELATIONS BETWEEN $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)$ AND THE WAHLQUIST-ESTABROOK PROLONGATION ALGEBRA

Consider an evolution system of the form

$$\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} = F^i(u^1, \dots, u^m, u^1_1, \dots, u^m_1, \dots, u^1_d, \dots, u^m_d), \tag{126}$$

$$u^i = u^i(x,t), \qquad u^i_k = \frac{\partial^k u^i}{\partial x^k}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Note that the functions F^i in (126) do not depend on x, t.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the infinite prolongation of (126). Let

$$a = (x = x_0,\ t = t_0,\ u^i_k = a^i_k) \in \mathcal{E}, \qquad x_0,\ t_0,\ a^i_k \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, m, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}, \tag{127}$$

be a point of $\mathcal{E}$.

For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$, the set $\mathcal{M}_n$ was defined Section 2.2. Recall that U^γ for $\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_n$ is given by (61).

The *Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra* of system (126) at the point (127) can be defined as follows. Consider formal power series

$$\mathrm{A} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_0} U^\alpha \cdot \mathrm{A}_\alpha, \qquad \mathrm{B} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{M}_{d-1}} U^\beta \cdot \mathrm{B}_\beta, \tag{128}$$

where A_α, B_β are elements of a Lie algebra. The equation

$$D_x(\mathrm{B}) - D_t(\mathrm{A}) + [\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}] = 0 \tag{129}$$

is equivalent to some Lie algebraic relations for A_α, B_β. The Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra (WE algebra for short) is given by the generators A_α, B_β and these relations. A more detailed definition of the WE algebra is presented in [11]. Denote this algebra by $\mathfrak{W}$.

The algebras $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)$ were defined in Section 2.3. In the present section we study $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)$. We are going to show that the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)$ for system (126) is isomorphic to some subalgebra of $\mathfrak{W}$.

Since system (126) is invariant with respect to the change of variables $x \mapsto x - x_0,\ t \mapsto t - t_0$, we can assume $x_0 = t_0 = 0$ in (127). According to (69), one has $\mathbb{A}_0^{l_1,l_2} = \mathbb{B}_0^{0,l_2} = 0$. Therefore, in the case $p = 0$, the power series (66), (67), (68) can be written as

(130)
$$\mathbb{A} = \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathcal{M}_0,\ \alpha\neq 0,\\ l_1,l_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} x^{l_1}t^{l_2}\cdot U^\alpha\cdot \mathbb{A}_\alpha^{l_1,l_2}, \qquad \mathbb{B} = \sum_{\beta\in\mathcal{M}_{d-1},\ l_1,l_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} x^{l_1}t^{l_2}\cdot U^\beta\cdot \mathbb{B}_\beta^{l_1,l_2}, \qquad \mathbb{B}_0^{0,l_2} = 0,$$

$$D_x(\mathbb{B}) - D_t(\mathbb{A}) + [\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}] = 0, \qquad \mathbb{A}_\alpha^{l_1,l_2}, \mathbb{B}_\beta^{l_1,l_2} \in \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a). \tag{131}$$

Lemma 6. *The elements*

$$\mathbb{A}_\alpha^{l_1,0}, \qquad l_1\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \qquad \alpha\in\mathcal{M}_0, \qquad \alpha\neq 0, \tag{132}$$

generate the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)$.

Proof. According to Remark 14, the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)$ is generated by

$$\mathbb{A}_\alpha^{l_1,l_2}, \qquad \mathbb{B}_\beta^{l_1,l_2}, \qquad l_1,l_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \qquad \beta\in\mathcal{M}_{d-1}, \qquad \alpha\in\mathcal{M}_0, \qquad \alpha\neq 0, \tag{133}$$

where $\mathbb{B}_0^{0,l_2} = 0$. Similarly to the proof of Proposition 5, using equation (131), one can show that the elements (133) belong to the subalgebra generated by (132). ☐

The next lemma follows from the definition of $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)$.

Lemma 7. *Let* $\mathfrak{g}$ *be a Lie algebra. Consider formal power series of the form*

$$P = \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathcal{M}_0,\ \alpha\neq 0,\\ l_1,l_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}} x^{l_1}t^{l_2}\cdot U^\alpha\cdot P_\alpha^{l_1,l_2}, \qquad Q = \sum_{\beta\in\mathcal{M}_{d-1},\ l_1,l_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} x^{l_1}t^{l_2}\cdot U^\beta\cdot Q_\beta^{l_1,l_2},$$

$$P_\alpha^{l_1,l_2},\ Q_\beta^{l_1,l_2}\in\mathfrak{g}, \qquad Q_0^{0,l_2} = 0.$$

If $D_x(Q) - D_t(P) + [P,Q] = 0$*, then the map* $\mathbb{A}_\alpha^{l_1,l_2}\mapsto P_\alpha^{l_1,l_2}$, $\mathbb{B}_\beta^{l_1,l_2}\mapsto Q_\beta^{l_1,l_2}$ *determines a homomorphism from* $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)$ *to* $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra. A *zero-curvature representation (ZCR) of Wahlquist-Estabrook type with coefficients in* $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by formal power series

$$P = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{M}_0} U^\alpha\cdot P_\alpha, \qquad Q = \sum_{\beta\in\mathcal{M}_{d-1}} U^\beta\cdot Q_\beta, \qquad P_\alpha,\ Q_\beta\in\mathfrak{g}, \tag{134}$$

satisfying

$$D_x(Q) - D_t(P) + [P,Q] = 0. \tag{135}$$

The next lemma follows from the definition of the WE algebra $\mathfrak{W}$.

Lemma 8. *Any ZCR of Wahlquist-Estabrook type* (134), (135) *with coefficients in* $\mathfrak{g}$ *determines a homomorphism* $\mathfrak{W}\to\mathfrak{g}$ *given by* $\mathrm{A}_\alpha\mapsto P_\alpha$, $\mathrm{B}_\beta\mapsto Q_\beta$.

Remark 15. For any Lie algebra $\mathfrak{L}$, there is a (possibly infinite-dimensional) vector space V such that $\mathfrak{L}$ is isomorphic to a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Here $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is the algebra of linear maps $V \to V$.

For example, one can use the following construction. Denote by $\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{L})$ the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{L}$. We have the injective homomorphism of Lie algebras

$$\xi\colon \mathfrak{L} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{L})), \qquad \xi(v)(w) = vw, \qquad v \in \mathfrak{L}, \qquad w \in \mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{L}).$$

So one can set $V = \mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{L})$.

Denote by $\mathbf{F}$ the vector space of formal power series in variables z_1, z_2 with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$. That is, an element of $\mathbf{F}$ is a power series of the form

$$\sum_{l_1,l_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} C^{l_1 l_2}, \qquad C^{l_1 l_2} \in \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a).$$

The space $\mathbf{F}$ has the Lie algebra structure given by

$$\Big[\sum_{l_1,l_2} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} C^{l_1 l_2},\, \sum_{\tilde{l}_1,\tilde{l}_2} z_1^{\tilde{l}_1} z_2^{\tilde{l}_2} \tilde{C}^{\tilde{l}_1 \tilde{l}_2}\Big] = \sum_{l_1,l_2,\tilde{l}_1,\tilde{l}_2} z_1^{l_1+\tilde{l}_1} z_2^{l_2+\tilde{l}_2} \Big[C^{l_1 l_2}, \tilde{C}^{\tilde{l}_1 \tilde{l}_2}\Big], \qquad C^{l_1 l_2}, \tilde{C}^{\tilde{l}_1 \tilde{l}_2} \in \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a).$$

We have also the following homomorphism of Lie algebras

$$\nu\colon \mathbf{F} \to \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a), \qquad \sum_{l_1,l_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} C^{l_1 l_2} \mapsto C^{00}. \tag{136}$$

For $i = 1, 2$, let $\partial_{z_i}\colon \mathbf{F} \to \mathbf{F}$ be the linear map given by

$$\partial_{z_i}\Big(\sum z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} C^{l_1 l_2}\Big) = \sum \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\Big(z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2}\Big) C^{l_1 l_2}.$$

Let D be the linear span of ∂_{z_1}, ∂_{z_2} in the vector space of linear maps $\mathbf{F} \to \mathbf{F}$. Since the maps ∂_{z_1}, ∂_{z_2} commute, the space D is a 2-dimensional abelian Lie algebra with respect to the commutator of maps.

Denote by $\mathbb{L}$ the vector space $\mathrm{D} \oplus \mathbf{F}$ with the following Lie algebra structure

$$[X_1 + f_1,\, X_2 + f_2] = X_1(f_2) - X_2(f_1) + [f_1, f_2], \qquad X_1, X_2 \in \mathrm{D}, \qquad f_1, f_2 \in \mathbf{F}.$$

An element of $\mathbb{L}$ can be written as a sum of the following form

$$\big(y_1\partial_{z_1} + y_2\partial_{z_2}\big) + \sum z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} C^{l_1 l_2}, \qquad y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad C^{l_1 l_2} \in \mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a).$$

Theorem 7. *Let $\mathfrak{R} \subset \mathfrak{W}$ be the subalgebra generated by the elements*

$$(\operatorname{ad} \mathrm{A}_0)^k(\mathrm{A}_\alpha), \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathcal{M}_0, \qquad \alpha \neq 0. \tag{137}$$

Then the map $(\operatorname{ad} \mathrm{A}_0)^k(\mathrm{A}_\alpha) \mapsto k! \cdot \mathbb{A}_\alpha^{k,0}$ determines an isomorphism between $\mathfrak{R}$ and $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E}, a)$.

Proof. Since the functions F^i in (126) do not depend on x and t, from (130), (131) it follows that the power series

$$\tilde{\mathrm{A}} = \partial_{z_1} + \sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{M}_0,\ \alpha\neq 0} U^\alpha \cdot \Big(\sum_{l_1,l_2} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} \mathbb{A}_\alpha^{l_1,l_2}\Big), \tag{138}$$

$$\tilde{\mathrm{B}} = \Big(\partial_{z_2} + \sum_{l_1,l_2} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} \mathbb{B}_0^{l_1,l_2}\Big) + \sum_{\beta\in\mathcal{M}_{d-1},\ \beta\neq 0} U^\beta \cdot \Big(\sum_{l_1,l_2} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} \mathbb{B}_\beta^{l_1,l_2}\Big). \tag{139}$$

form a ZCR of Wahlquist-Estabrook type with coefficients in $\mathbb{L}$. Applying Lemma 8 to this ZCR, we obtain the homomorphism

$$\varphi\colon \mathfrak{W} \to \mathbb{L}, \qquad \varphi(\mathrm{A}_0) = \partial_{z_1}, \qquad \varphi(\mathrm{A}_\alpha) = \sum_{l_1,l_2} z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} \mathbb{A}_\alpha^{l_1,l_2}, \qquad \alpha \in \mathcal{M}_0, \quad \alpha \neq 0, \tag{140}$$

$$\varphi(\mathrm{B}_0)=\Big(\partial_{z_2}+\sum_{l_1,l_2} z_1^{l_1}z_2^{l_2}\mathbb{B}_0^{l_1,l_2}\Big),\qquad \varphi(\mathrm{B}_\beta)=\Big(\sum_{l_1,l_2} z_1^{l_1}z_2^{l_2}\mathbb{B}_\beta^{l_1,l_2}\Big),\qquad \beta\in\mathcal{M}_{d-1},\quad \beta\neq 0.$$

Clearly, $\mathbf{F}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathbb{L}=\mathrm{D}\oplus\mathbf{F}$. In view of (140), for any $\alpha\in\mathcal{M}_0$ and $k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\alpha\neq 0$ we have

$$\varphi\Big((\operatorname{ad}\mathrm{A}_0)^k(\mathrm{A}_\alpha)\Big)=\big(\operatorname{ad}\partial_{z_1}\big)^k\Big(\sum_{l_1,l_2} z_1^{l_1}z_2^{l_2}\mathbb{A}_\alpha^{l_1,l_2}\Big)=\big(\partial_{z_1}\big)^k\Big(\sum_{l_1,l_2} z_1^{l_1}z_2^{l_2}\mathbb{A}_\alpha^{l_1,l_2}\Big)\in\mathbf{F}. \tag{141}$$

Since $\mathfrak{R}\subset\mathfrak{W}$ is generated by the elements (137), property (141) implies $\varphi(\mathfrak{R})\subset\mathbf{F}\subset\mathbb{L}$. Using the homomorphism (136) and property (141), we obtain

$$\nu\circ\varphi\big|_{\mathfrak{R}}\colon\mathfrak{R}\to\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a),\qquad(\nu\circ\varphi)\Big((\operatorname{ad}\mathrm{A}_0)^k(\mathrm{A}_\alpha)\Big)=k!\cdot\mathbb{A}_\alpha^{k,0},\qquad k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\quad\alpha\in\mathcal{M}_0,\quad\alpha\neq 0. \tag{142}$$

Using Remark 15, we can assume that $\mathfrak{W}$ is embedded to the algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ for some vector space V.

Then the expressions $\mathrm{e}^{t\mathrm{B}_0}$, $\mathrm{e}^{x\mathrm{A}_0}$ and (128) can be regarded as power series with coefficients in $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. If S_1, S_2 are power series with coefficients in $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$, then the product S_1S_2 is a well-defined power series as well. It is easy to check that the following formulas are valid

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{e}^{t\mathrm{B}_0}\mathrm{e}^{x\mathrm{A}_0}\Big(D_x+\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{M}_0}U^\alpha\cdot\mathrm{A}_\alpha\Big)\mathrm{e}^{-x\mathrm{A}_0}\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathrm{B}_0}=D_x-\mathrm{e}^{t\mathrm{B}_0}\mathrm{A}_0\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathrm{B}_0}+\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{M}_0}U^\alpha\cdot\mathrm{e}^{t\mathrm{B}_0}\mathrm{e}^{x\mathrm{A}_0}\mathrm{A}_\alpha\mathrm{e}^{-x\mathrm{A}_0}\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathrm{B}_0}=\\&\qquad=D_x+\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{M}_0,\ \alpha\neq 0}U^\alpha\cdot\sum_{l_1,l_2}\frac{1}{l_1!l_2!}x^{l_1}t^{l_2}(\operatorname{ad}\mathrm{B}_0)^{l_2}\Big((\operatorname{ad}\mathrm{A}_0)^{l_1}(\mathrm{A}_\alpha)\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{143}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{e}^{t\mathrm{B}_0}\mathrm{e}^{x\mathrm{A}_0}\Big(D_t+\sum_{\beta\in\mathcal{M}_{d-1}}U^\beta\cdot\mathrm{B}_\beta\Big)\mathrm{e}^{-x\mathrm{A}_0}\mathrm{e}^{-t\mathrm{B}_0}=\\&\qquad=D_t-B_0+\sum_{\beta\in\mathcal{M}_{d-1}}U^\beta\cdot\sum_{l_1,l_2}\frac{1}{l_1!l_2!}x^{l_1}t^{l_2}(\operatorname{ad}\mathrm{B}_0)^{l_2}\Big((\operatorname{ad}\mathrm{A}_0)^{l_1}(\mathrm{B}_\beta)\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{144}$$

From (129), (143), (144) it follows that the power series

$$P=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{M}_0,\ \alpha\neq 0}U^\alpha\cdot\sum_{l_1,l_2}\frac{1}{l_1!l_2!}x^{l_1}t^{l_2}(\operatorname{ad}\mathrm{B}_0)^{l_2}\Big((\operatorname{ad}\mathrm{A}_0)^{l_1}(\mathrm{A}_\alpha)\Big), \tag{145}$$

$$Q=-B_0+\sum_{\beta\in\mathcal{M}_{d-1}}U^\beta\cdot\sum_{l_1,l_2}\frac{1}{l_1!l_2!}x^{l_1}t^{l_2}(\operatorname{ad}\mathrm{B}_0)^{l_2}\Big((\operatorname{ad}\mathrm{A}_0)^{l_1}(\mathrm{B}_\beta)\Big) \tag{146}$$

satisfy all conditions of Lemma 7. Applying Lemma 7 to (145), (146), we obtain the homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}&\psi\colon\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)\to\mathfrak{W},\qquad\psi\big(\mathbb{A}_\alpha^{l_1,l_2}\big)=\frac{1}{l_1!l_2!}(\operatorname{ad}\mathrm{B}_0)^{l_2}\Big((\operatorname{ad}\mathrm{A}_0)^{l_1}(\mathrm{A}_\alpha)\Big),\qquad\alpha\in\mathcal{M}_0,\qquad\alpha\neq 0,\\&\psi\big(\mathbb{B}_\beta^{l_1,l_2}\big)=\frac{1}{l_1!l_2!}(\operatorname{ad}\mathrm{B}_0)^{l_2}\Big((\operatorname{ad}\mathrm{A}_0)^{l_1}(\mathrm{B}_\beta)\Big),\qquad\beta\in\mathcal{M}_{d-1},\qquad\beta\neq 0,\qquad l_1,l_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\\&\psi\big(\mathbb{B}_0^{l'_1,l'_2}\big)=\frac{1}{l'_1!l'_2!}(\operatorname{ad}\mathrm{B}_0)^{l'_2}\Big((\operatorname{ad}\mathrm{A}_0)^{l'_1}(\mathrm{B}_0)\Big),\qquad l'_1\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0},\qquad l'_2\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.\end{aligned} \tag{147}$$

From (147) we get

$$\psi\big(\mathbb{A}_\alpha^{l_1,0}\big)=\frac{1}{l_1!}(\operatorname{ad}\mathrm{A}_0)^{l_1}(\mathrm{A}_\alpha)\in\mathfrak{R},\qquad l_1\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},\qquad\alpha\in\mathcal{M}_0,\qquad\alpha\neq 0. \tag{148}$$

Since, by Lemma 6, the elements (132) generate the algebra $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)$, property (148) implies $\psi\big(\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)\big)\subset\mathfrak{R}$. Then from (142), (148) it follows that the homomorphisms $\psi\colon\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)\to\mathfrak{R}$ and $\nu\circ\varphi\big|_{\mathfrak{R}}\colon\mathfrak{R}\to\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)$ are inverse to each other. ☐

5. THE ALGEBRAS $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)$ FOR THE KDV EQUATION

Consider the infinite-dimensional Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}[\lambda])\cong\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}[\lambda],$$

where $\mathbb{C}[\lambda]$ is the algebra of polynomials in λ.

Theorem 8. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the infinite prolongation of the KdV equation $u_t=u_{xxx}+u_xu$. Let $a\in\mathcal{E}$. Then $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}[\lambda])$ and a 3-dimensional abelian Lie algebra.*

For each $p\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, consider the homomorphism $\varphi_p\colon\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)\to\mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E},a)$ from (70) and the homomorphism $\psi_p\colon\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)\to\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)$ that is equal to be the composition of

$$\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)\to\mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E},a)\to\cdots\to\mathbb{F}^1(\mathcal{E},a)\to\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)$$

from (70). Then the kernel of φ_p is contained in the center of the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)$, and the kernel of ψ_p is nilpotent.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{W}$ be the Wahlquist-Estabrook prolongation algebra of the KdV equation. According to [4, 5], the algebra $\mathfrak{W}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}[\lambda])$ and a 5-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra.

Consider the subalgebra $\mathfrak{R}\subset\mathfrak{W}$ defined in Theorem 7. According to Theorem 7, one has $\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)\cong\mathfrak{R}$. From the description of $\mathfrak{W}$ in [4, 5] it follows that $\mathfrak{R}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}[\lambda])$ and a 3-dimensional abelian Lie algebra.

The results about the homomorphisms $\varphi_p\colon\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)\to\mathbb{F}^{p-1}(\mathcal{E},a)$ and $\psi_p\colon\mathbb{F}^p(\mathcal{E},a)\to\mathbb{F}^0(\mathcal{E},a)$ follow from Theorem 6. ☐

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank M. Crainic, A. Henriques, Yu. I. Manin, I. Miklaszewski, I. S. Krasil′shchik, J. van de Leur, V. V. Sokolov, A. M. Verbovetsky, and A. M. Vinogradov for useful discussions.

This work is supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) grants 639.031.515 and 613.000.906. The author is grateful to the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics (Bonn, Germany) for its hospitality and excellent working conditions during 02.2006–01.2007 and 06.2010–09.2010, when part of this research was done.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Ju. Balakhnev. The vector generalization of the Landau-Lifshitz equation: Bäcklund transformation and solutions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **18** (2005), 1363–1372.

[2] A. V. Bocharov, V. N. Chetverikov, S. V. Duzhin, N. G. Khor′kova, I. S. Krasil′shchik, A. V. Samokhin, Yu. N. Torkhov, A. M. Verbovetsky, and A. M. Vinogradov. *Symmetries and Conservation Laws for Differential Equations of Mathematical Physics.* Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[3] R. Dodd and A. Fordy. The prolongation structures of quasipolynomial flows. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **385** (1983), 389–429.

[4] H. N. van Eck. The explicit form of the Lie algebra of Wahlquist and Estabrook. A presentation problem. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.* **45** (1983), 149–164.

[5] H. N. van Eck. A non-Archimedean approach to prolongation theory. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **12** (1986), 231–239.

[6] J. D. Finley and J. K. McIver. Prolongations to higher jets of Estabrook-Wahlquist coverings for PDEs. *Acta Appl. Math.* **32** (1993), 197–225.

[7] I. Z. Golubchik and V. V. Sokolov. A multicomponent generalization of the hierarchy of the Landau-Lifshitz equation. *Theoret. and Math. Phys.* **124** (2000), 909–917.

[8] S. Igonin. Coverings and fundamental algebras for partial differential equations. *J. Geom. Phys.* **56** (2006), 939–998.

[9] S. Igonin. Algebras and algebraic curves associated with PDEs and Bäcklund transformations. Max Planck Institute preprint MPIM2010-120. http://www.mpim-bonn.mpg.de/preprints/

[10] S. Igonin. Analogues of coverings and the fundamental group for the category of partial differential equations. Preprint at http://www.math.uu.nl/people/igonin/preprints/

[11] S. Igonin, J. van de Leur, G. Manno, and V. Trushkov. Infinite-dimensional prolongation Lie algebras and multicomponent Landau-Lifshitz systems associated with higher genus curves. Preprint at arXiv:1209.2999

[12] S. Igonin and G. Manno. Fundamental Lie algebras for multicomponent Landau-Lifshitz systems. Preprint at www.math.uu.nl/people/igonin/preprints/

[13] I. S. Krasilshchik and A. M. Vinogradov. Nonlocal trends in the geometry of differential equations. *Acta Appl. Math.* **15** (1989), 161–209.

[14] G. H. M. Roelofs and R. Martini. Prolongation structure of the Landau-Lifshitz equation. *J. Math. Phys.* **34** (1993), 2394–2399.

[15] T. Skrypnyk. Deformations of loop algebras and integrable systems: hierarchies of integrable equations. *J. Math. Phys.* **45** (2004), 4578–4595.

[16] S. I. Svinolupov, V. V. Sokolov, and R. I. Yamilov. On Bäcklund transformations for integrable evolution equations. *Sov. Math., Dokl.* **28** (1983), 165–168.

[17] H. D. Wahlquist and F. B. Estabrook. Prolongation structures of nonlinear evolution equations. *J. Math. Phys.* **16** (1975), 1–7.

Department of Mathematics, Utrecht University, P.O. Box 80010, 3508 TA Utrecht, the Netherlands

E-mail address: `s-igonin@yandex.ru`